Sarcouncil Journal of Economics and Business Management

ISSN(Online): 2945-3593

Volume- 05| Issue- 01| 2026

Review Article Received: 01-12-2025 | Accepted: 22-12-2025 | Published: 07-01-2026

Advancing Data-Driven Scheduling Frameworks for Infrastructure Modernization: A Comprehensive Review of United States Construction Efficiency Models

***Bernard Kwakye Antwi*[1] *and Blessing Chimnecherem Nnaji*[2]**

[1]*Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology*
[2]*University of Massachusetts, USA*

Abstract: Infrastructure modernization in the United States continues to face recurring schedule overruns that undermine national competitiveness and reduce public value. In recent years data driven scheduling frameworks have emerged as potential solutions that leverage Building Information Modeling real-time sensor data, historical performance archives, and advanced analytics to enhance schedule accuracy and resilience. This literature review examines peer-reviewed studies from the last decade that investigate data-centered scheduling models, including predictive algorithms, hybrid machine learning decision systems, out-of-order scheduling, and Building Information Modeling (BIM)- enabled workflow integration. The review analyzes methodological advances, evaluates empirical evidence from United States-based studies, and identifies persistent constraints such as limited interoperability, weak data governance, and small-scale validation. Findings demonstrate that hybrid analytics and sensor-enhanced scheduling approaches consistently outperform traditional critical path methods in delay prediction of resource alignment and progress monitoring. The review also highlights substantial research gaps related to transparency procurement effects and cross-agency data integration. Recommendations for future research include establishing United States-focused longitudinal datasets, improving interpretability and standardization, and conducting multi-site field evaluations in public infrastructure programs.

Keywords: Data-driven scheduling, Infrastructure modernization, United States construction, Predictive analytics, Building Information Modelling.

INTRODUCTION

Infrastructure development in the United States continues to experience chronic schedule overruns that undermine public trust and escalate project costs. Ibrahim *et al*. (2020) demonstrated that disruptions and out-of-sequence work significantly reduce productivity across major US projects, highlighting persistent weaknesses in traditional planning systems. Similarly, a national study by Alves *et al*. (2020) found that most contractors still rely on deterministic scheduling practices that fail to capture the uncertainty and resource volatility typical of modern infrastructure programs. These findings reinforce long-standing concerns about the suitability of conventional scheduling tools for the evolving complexity of the US construction sector.

The rapid emergence of advanced digital technologies has begun reshaping how US agencies and contractors approach project planning. Federal policy guidance, such as the Federal Highway Administration BIM Roadmap (2021), positions building information modeling as a national priority for improving transparency and delivery outcomes. Research at the project level has also advanced substantially. For example, Amer and Golparvar-Fard (2021) showed that machine learning methods can extract sequencing patterns and performance trends from historical US scheduling datasets, reducing manual planning effort and enhancing forecast reliability. Complementing this, recent work by Jung *et al*. (2024) introduced a vision-language model capable of converting field photography into progress indicators suitable for schedule updates (Federal Highway Administration, 2022). Together, these studies illustrate a growing trend toward real-time, data-enriched scheduling in American construction.

Despite such advances, the integration of data-driven scheduling remains inconsistent across the United States. Assessments published by the Federal Highway Administration (2021) repeatedly note gaps in interoperability, data governance, and model standardization that hinder the widespread adoption of analytics-supported workflows. Supporting this perspective, Pal *et al*. (2024) found that even when advanced sensing and image-based monitoring techniques are deployed, the absence of uniform data structures prevents the seamless incorporation of this information into scheduling platforms. These challenges suggest that while technological capability is increasing, institutional and organizational constraints continue to limit adoption of modern scheduling practices in US infrastructure delivery.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

***Corresponding Author:** Bernard Kwakye Antwi

DOI-https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18172534

Given these constraints, the present study aims to synthesize peer-reviewed research from the past decade to determine how data-driven scheduling frameworks can enhance construction efficiency within the United States. By drawing on contributions from Amer and Golparvar-Fard (2021) and Ibrahim *et al*. (2020) alongside FHWA's national guidelines, this review identifies the primary methodological pathways shaping advanced scheduling including predictive analytics, BIM-enabled planning, hybrid decision systems and real time performance integration. The review further assesses the practical limitations of these approaches in US public sector environments and outlines priority areas for research and policy advancement.

Method Used

A targeted systematic review of eighteen (18) publications from 2020 to 2025 was conducted across major peer-reviewed journals as well as relevant publications from professional bodies in construction management, data science, civil engineering, and project analytics. Databases reviewed included ASCE Engineering Village, Scopus, and Google Scholar. Articles were included if they addressed schedule generation, forecasting, delay prediction, resource modeling, or BIM-integrated planning relevant to United States project environments. Studies were analyzed thematically according to methodological approaches, data types, empirical performance, and practical implementation challenges.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The increasing demand for data-centered decision-making in the United States infrastructure delivery has accelerated research into advanced scheduling technologies. Over the past six years, scholars have examined the extent to which predictive analytics, BIM-enabled modeling, sensor-enhanced monitoring, digital twin systems, and integrated governance frameworks can improve schedule accuracy and mitigate delays. The literature demonstrates substantial methodological advancements together with persistent challenges relating to interoperability, cybersecurity, organizational readiness, equity alignment, and climate resilience. This section synthesize*s* contemporary peer-reviewed findings to evaluate the maturity of data-driven scheduling research in the United States and to identify critical gaps that shape future inquiry.

Machine Learning and Predictive Analytics for Scheduling

Machine learning models have gained prominence in the United States construction scheduling because they detect nonlinear delay patterns and forecast deviations more accurately than traditional methods. Chong *et al*. (2025) demonstrate that predictive analytics embedded within BIM-enabled workflows significantly strengthen risk detection by analyzing real-time schedule and resource data. Alsakka *et al*. (2024) report that combining machine learning with digital twin environments reduces schedule variance by more than eighty percent in controlled case studies. Radman *et al*. (2025) validate that streamlined data inputs and analytical automation improve forecasting precision across multiple infrastructure projects. These studies confirm that United States projects benefit from machine learning powered forecasting, although adoption remains limited by inconsistent data quality and restricted access to large, validated datasets.

BIM Integration and AI Enhanced Scheduling

Evidence shows that 4D BIM improves schedule reliability through enhanced visualization, conflict detection, and clearer sequencing. Doukari *et al*. (2022) found that BIM-based simulation supports more efficient planning than conventional methods. Chong *et al*. (2025) identify emerging models in which artificial intelligence updates BIM components using computer vision and point cloud recognition, reducing delays between field progress and digital schedule updates. Al Omari *et al*. (2023) highlight software fragmentation and organizational resistance as constraints on widespread adoption in the United States. Even with these barriers, the integration of BIM and artificial intelligence demonstrates strong potential for generating dynamically updated schedules that respond to evolving project conditions.

Sensor Based Monitoring and Real Time Data

Recent advancements in sensor networks and automated field capture technologies have enabled near real-time progress tracking in the United States construction settings. Rao *et al*. (2022) show that continuous sensor monitoring improves schedule validation and reduces dependence on manual reporting. Radman *et al*. (2025) further demonstrate that incorporating live sensor feeds into schedule analytics strengthens forecasting accuracy and early deviation detection. Adoption remains inconsistent because many organizations lack dedicated digital infrastructure and capacity to manage high-volume data streams. The literature

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

reinforces that sensor-based scheduling yields strong benefits when accompanied by standardized integration practices and dedicated data management processes.

Digital Twin Enabled Scheduling

Digital twins have emerged as an important mechanism for dynamic scheduling in the United States construction. Madubuike *et al*. (2022) define digital twins as adaptive virtual replicas capable of maintaining real-time synchronization between field conditions and predictive simulations. Alsakka *et al*. (2024) document substantial accuracy gains when digital twins incorporate time-stamped sensor data and machine learning algorithms. These findings illustrate the efficiency improvements achievable through twin-based planning, even though widespread implementation across public infrastructure remains limited because of system complexity and integration costs. Digital twin systems, therefore, provide high value but remain underutilized across most United States programs.

Interoperability and Data Governance

The literature consistently identifies fragmented data structures and non-aligned information formats as major constraints on the advancement of data-driven scheduling. Chatsuwan *et al*. (2025) argue that open standards such as IFC and COBie are essential for supporting cross-platform scheduling integration. Al Omari *et al*. (2023) similarly find that inconsistent governance reduces the effectiveness of predictive tools in construction environments. Effective scheduling frameworks depend not only on analytical sophistication but also on coordinated governance structures that maintain data consistency throughout the project lifecycle. As agencies integrate BIM data, sensor streams, and machine learning outputs into unified platforms, the consolidation of these resources increases digital exposure and introduces the need for strengthened cybersecurity considerations.

Cybersecurity in Predictive Scheduling Tools

As scheduling ecosystems become more interconnected, cybersecurity emerges as a critical counterpart to interoperability and data governance. Integrated data environments that combine BIM repositories, sensor feeds, and cloud-based analytics create new exposure points that can compromise schedule accuracy and operational continuity. Chong *et al*. (2025) emphasize the importance of secure data management layers capable of protecting these complex scheduling pipelines. Although cyber threats pose significant risks, empirical assessments of cybersecurity readiness remain rare in the United States scheduling literature. This gap highlights the need for targeted research on authentication practices, monitoring protocols, and secure architectural design within digital construction environments.

Organizational and Procurement Barriers

Organizational culture and procurement frameworks strongly influence the adoption of advanced scheduling technologies. Al Omari *et al*. (2023) identify financial constraints, limited digital literacy, and resistance to new practices as recurring inhibitors among owners, contractors, and consultants. Chatsuwan *et al*. (2025) note that procurement protocols requiring open information standards and structured digital deliverables support more consistent adoption and reduce the risk of vendor lock-in. The literature emphasizes that technological capability alone is insufficient without parallel reforms in contracting structures, organizational training, and policy frameworks.

Equity and Workforce Analytics

Equity oriented scheduling remains an emerging domain in United States research even though human centered factors have significant influence on schedule feasibility. Construction schedules depend on labor availability, crew configurations, skill distribution, and fair workforce allocation. These human factors shape productivity patterns and have direct effects on delay risk. Incorporating them into predictive models enhances digital scheduling accuracy by aligning computational forecasts with actual labor conditions. Corral *et al*. (2023) illustrate the value of labor centered optimization during periods of operational disruption. Doukari *et al*. (2022) note that BIM platforms capture detailed labor information yet are rarely used to assess demographic patterns or distributive equity. These findings show that equity metrics and community impact indicators remain underused across most predictive scheduling frameworks.

Environmental and Climate Resilience Considerations

As scheduling research becomes more comprehensive, there is increasing recognition that environmental conditions and climate variability significantly influence project timelines. United States projects experience climate related disruptions such as extreme rainfall, heat events, and seasonal variability that impact labor availability and sequencing logic. Embedding

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

climate aware forecasting within data driven scheduling aligns technical models with the environmental realities that shape project risk. Pineda and Guemes Castorena (2021) describe resilient scheduling as incorporating adaptive buffers and flexible sequencing to anticipate uncertain conditions. Aguda and Oyedepo (2024) show that sustainable sequencing also supports environmental performance through optimized energy use and reduced carbon impacts. Despite these contributions, empirical studies in the United States remain limited, indicating the need for climate-informed scheduling that integrates weather prediction, emissions tracking, and resilience metrics.

COMPREHENSIVE FINDINGS

Predictive Performance and Forecasting Accuracy

Across the reviewed studies, data-centered scheduling methods consistently outperform traditional approaches in United States infrastructure projects. Machine learning models integrated into BIM environments show clear improvements in predictive capability. Chong *et al*. (2025) find that analytics-based risk detection enables project teams to identify developing delays far earlier than manual critical path reviews. Research on digital twins complements these results. Alsakka *et al*. (2024) report that machine learning enhanced twin systems reduce schedule deviation by more than eighty percent, demonstrating that real-time information significantly strengthens forecasting stability. These findings confirm that predictive approaches can meaningfully improve schedule reliability when supported by complete and high-quality datasets.

Real Time Monitoring and Field Data Integration

Studies examining sensor-based monitoring and automated data capture report additional gains in scheduling performance. Radman *et al*. (2025) show that continuous site reporting improves forecasting precision because real-time information reduces the lag inherent in manual field updates. Rao *et al*. (2021) observe that sensor-guided monitoring increases situational awareness and allows earlier detection of deviations that affect sequencing. Together, these studies demonstrate that real-time monitoring supports stronger decision-making and improves a project team's ability to respond to emerging conditions.

Technological Readiness and Capacity Gaps

Even with notable progress in predictive modeling, adoption remains uneven across the United States construction sector. Chatsuwan *et al*. (2025) note that analytical systems often underperform when organizations rely on fragmented information structures or proprietary tools that cannot communicate with advanced scheduling platforms. Al Omari *et al*. (2023) highlight that financial constraints, resistance to change, and limited digital literacy continue to hinder implementation. These findings show that technological advancement alone is not sufficient. Effective deployment requires organizational readiness, targeted training, and sustained investment in digital capacity.

Interoperability and Data Governance Constraints

A recurring theme across literature is that interoperability limitations present a more significant challenge than the analytical complexity of the models themselves. Chatsuwan *et al*. (2025) demonstrate that inconsistent information schemas, incomplete data flows, and low adherence to open standards compromise model accuracy. Chong *et al*. (2025) emphasize that strong governance practices, including clearly defined information requirements and consistent data validation, are essential for reliable scheduling. Without a unified governance framework, predictive systems cannot operate at scale within the United States public infrastructure environments.

Cybersecurity and Digital Vulnerability

Cybersecurity considerations have grown in importance as scheduling platforms combine BIM repositories, sensor inputs, cloud processing, and machine learning analytics. Chong *et al*. (2025) highlight the need for secure data management layers that protect these interconnected environments. Despite this elevated exposure, empirical assessments of cybersecurity readiness remain rare in the United States scheduling research. This gap introduces a notable vulnerability because disruptions in data integrity can directly affect schedule outcomes. Strengthened cybersecurity practices are therefore required to maintain continuity and ensure the reliability of predictive scheduling systems.

Organizational and Procurement Barriers

The literature consistently shows that organizational and procurement structures strongly influence the effectiveness of scheduling

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

innovations. Al Omari *et al*. (2023) report that cultural resistance, outdated contracting practices, and insufficient training limit the adoption of digital tools across many organizations. Chatsuwan *et al*. (2025) add that procurement frameworks requiring open information standards and structured digital deliverables support more consistent adoption. These findings illustrate that administrative and policy reforms are necessary complements to technological innovation. Without supportive institutional arrangements, advanced scheduling approaches remain difficult to implement.

Equity, Workforce, and Social Dimensions

Research also shows that equity-oriented and workforce-centered considerations remain underrepresented in most predictive scheduling frameworks. Corral *et al*. (2023) demonstrate that labor constraints and staffing requirements can significantly influence schedule feasibility when explicitly modeled. Doukari *et al*. (2022) observe that although BIM systems contain detailed labor information, these data are rarely used to evaluate equity patterns or community level impacts. These studies indicate that current models do not fully reflect human-centered factors that shape actual project performance. Integrating equity metrics and workforce analytics would strengthen the realism of scheduling research and support alignment with national infrastructure priorities.

Climate and Environmental Resilience Gaps

Climate resilience is another growing but underexplored theme. Pineda and Guemes Castorena (2021) describe climate-informed scheduling as incorporating flexible buffers and adaptive sequencing to anticipate weather-related uncertainty. Aguda and Oyedepo (2024) show that sustainable sequencing can reduce environmental impacts while improving operational efficiency. Despite these contributions, United States-specific evidence remains limited. This gap highlights the need for scheduling frameworks that integrate climate data, emissions tracking, and resilience indicators to reflect the environmental conditions that increasingly influence infrastructure delivery.

Research Gaps and Recommendations for Further Studies

Several critical gaps limit the scalability and maturity of data driven scheduling within United States infrastructure programs. One of the most significant is the absence of large and validated project datasets. Chong *et al*. (2025) emphasized that predictive accuracy depends heavily on data completeness, yet most studies rely on small samples. Radman *et al*. (2025) similarly observed that fragmented data structures across U.S. organizations impede the development of robust training datasets. Establishing national longitudinal repositories containing schedule, cost, productivity, and workforce metrics would substantially strengthen methodological reliability.

Interoperability challenges persist across platforms and agencies. Chatsuwan *et al*. (2025) noted that incomplete adoption of open standards reduces the accuracy of integrated scheduling systems by creating semantic inconsistencies. Doukari *et al*. (2022) further demonstrated that even advanced BIM-based models underperform when project stakeholders operate on incompatible software systems. Future research must therefore focus on standardized interoperability frameworks, automated data transformation protocols, and enforceable information requirements tailored to U.S. public infrastructure.

Cybersecurity represents another underexplored challenge. Chong *et al*. (2025) highlighted the need for secure data governance layers, but constructive scheduling research rarely examines cyber risks or resilience strategies. As infrastructure projects increasingly adopt cloud-based and IoT-enabled scheduling platforms, future studies should develop threat modeling, authentication protocols, and secure architectural designs specific to construction environments.

Human-centered and equity-driven dimensions also require greater attention. Corral *et al*. (2023) demonstrated that labor constraints shape schedule feasibility, yet equity considerations such as workforce representation or community impact remain unaddressed in most predictive models. Doukari *et al*. (2022) likewise noted that BIM tools capture detailed labor information, but these data are seldom used to evaluate equity outcomes. Integrating demographic analytics and social performance indicators into scheduling research would align project planning with national infrastructure equity priorities.

Finally, outdated procurement systems continue to hinder the adoption of advanced scheduling tools. Al Omari *et al*. (2023) identified cultural resistance and limited digital capacity as central barriers, while Chatsuwan *et al*. (2025) emphasized that contract requirements for open standards and structured digital deliverables are crucial for enabling scalable innovation. Future

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

work should examine procurement frameworks that reward data readiness, transparency, and digital performance objectives.

Recommendations include developing national infrastructure data repositories, establishing unified interoperability standards, embedding cybersecurity protocols into predictive scheduling models, incorporating workforce equity metrics, and redesigning procurement policies to incentivize digital transformation across the U.S. construction sector.

CONCLUSION

Data driven scheduling offers significant potential to improve the accuracy, adaptability, and transparency of United States infrastructure delivery. Recent studies confirm that machine learning, BIM integrated planning, digital twins, and real time monitoring systems enhance predictive capability and strengthen decision making across complex project environments. Yet the effectiveness of these tools is constrained by inconsistent data quality, interoperability limitations, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and uneven organizational readiness. Addressing these issues will require coordinated progress in data governance, workforce training, procurement reform, and long-term investment in interoperable digital infrastructure. If these systemic barriers are resolved, data driven scheduling can play a central role in improving national construction efficiency and ensuring greater public value in federally funded infrastructure programs.

REFERENCES

1. Aguda, S. "Scheduling and Resource Management in Sustainable Construction Projects." (2024).
2. Omari, R. A., Sweis, G., Abu-Khader, W., & Sweis, R. "Barriers to the adoption of digitalization in the construction industry: Perspectives of owners, consultants, and contractors." *Construction Economics and Building* 23.3/4 (2023): 87-106.
3. Alsakka, F., Yu, H., El-Chami, I., Hamzeh, F., & Al-Hussein, M. "Digital twin for production estimation, scheduling and real-time monitoring in offsite construction." *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 191 (2024): 110173.
4. Alves, T. D. C., Liu, M., Scala, N. M., & Javanmardi, A. "Schedules and schedulers: A study in the US construction industry." *Engineering management journal* 32.3 (2020): 166-185.
5. Amer, F., & Golparvar-Fard, M. "Modeling dynamic construction work template from existing scheduling records via sequential machine learning." *Advanced Engineering Informatics* 47 (2021): 101198.
6. Chatsuwan, M., Moriwaki, A., Ichinose, M., & Alkhalaf, H. "BIM–FM interoperability through open standards: a critical literature review." *Architecture* 5.3 (2025): 74.
7. Chong, H. Y., Yang, X., Goh, C. S., & Luo, Y. "BIM and AI Integration for Dynamic Schedule Management: A Practical Framework and Case Study." *Buildings* 15.14 (2025): 2451.
8. Corral, J., García Sabater, J. P., Maheut, J., & Esteban, J. "Workforce scheduling efficiency assessment in construction projects through a multi objective optimization model in the COVID 19 context." *Heliyon*, 9.3 (2023): e13912.
9. Doukari, O., Seck, B., & Greenwood, D. "The creation of construction schedules in 4D BIM: A comparison of conventional and automated approaches." *Buildings* 12.8 (2022): 1145.
10. Federal Highway Administration. "Building information modeling BIM for infrastructure." *U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration*. (2022).
11. Mallela, J., & Bhargava, A. "Advancing BIM for Infrastructure: National Strategic Roadmap." *No. FHWA-HRT-21-064. United States. Federal Highway Administration*, (2021).
12. Ibrahim, M. W., Hanna, A. S., Russell, J. S., Abotaleb, I. S., & El-Adaway, I. H. "Quantitative analysis of the impacts of out-of-sequence work on project performance." *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management* 146.8 (2020): 04020090.
13. Jung, Y., Cho, I., Hsu, S. H., & Golparvar-Fard, M. "VisualSiteDiary: A detector-free Vision-Language Transformer model for captioning photologs for daily construction reporting and image retrievals." *Automation in Construction* 165 (2024): 105483.
14. Madubuike, O. C., Anumba, C. J., & Khallaf, R. "A review of digital twin applications in construction." *Journal of Information Technology in Construction* 27 (2022).
15. Pal, A., Lin, J. J., Hsieh, S. H., & Golparvar-Fard, M. "Activity-level construction progress monitoring through semantic segmentation of 3D-informed orthographic

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

images." *Automation in Construction* 157 (2024): 105157.
16. Milat, M., Knezić, S., & Sedlar, J. "Resilient scheduling as a response to uncertainty in construction projects." *Applied Sciences* 11.14 (2021): 6493.
17. Radman, K., Jelodar, M. B., Lovreglio, R., Ghazizadeh, E., & Wilkinson, S. "Real-Time tracking and analysis in construction projects: A RealCONs framework." *Advanced Engineering Informatics* 67 (2025): 103511.
18. Rao, A. S., Radanovic, M., Liu, Y., Hu, S., Fang, Y., Khoshelham, K., ... & Ngo, T. "Real-time monitoring of construction sites: Sensors, methods, and applications." *Automation in construction* 136 (2022): 104099.

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:
Antwi, B. K. & Nnaji, B. C. "Advancing Data-Driven Scheduling Frameworks for Infrastructure Modernization: A Comprehensive Review of United States Construction Efficiency Models." *Sarcouncil Journal of Economics and Business Management* 5.1 (2026): pp 16-22.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher