Sarcouncil Journal of Economics and Business Management

ISSN(Online): 2945-3593

Volume- 05| Issue- 02| 2026

Research Article **Received**: 10-01-2026 | **Accepted**: 05-02-2026 | **Published**: 19-02-2026

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Utility Infrastructure Financial Forecasting

Stefan Daniel Anim-Sampong[1] and Matthew Oman-Amoako[2]

[1]*School of Business and Economics, Brandeis University, Massachusetts, USA.*
[2]*Department of Business Administration, Accra Institute of Technology, Ghana*

Abstract: The growing complexity and instability of utility infrastructure systems have undermined the efficiency of traditional econometric techniques of financial forecasting. This review is a literature survey of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) that is reinventing financial forecasting in the energy, water, and smart urban infrastructure industries. It bases itself on peer-reviewed literature written since 2015 and 2025 to synthesize theoretical background, methodological advances, and research applications to assess AI-based models integration in utility finance. The most recent developments in deep learning architectures, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs), recurrent neural networks (RNNs), or reinforcement learning models make it feasible to model nonlinear, spatio-temporal, and adaptive dynamics in infrastructure systems. It has been empirically proven that AI-based and hybrid forecasting models are more accurate, robust, and capable of predicting over multi-horizons than more traditional statistical methods. In addition, AI-driven forecasting is a paradigm shift of the unchanging econometric prediction to dynamic financial intelligence to aid real-time decision-making, risk reduction, and capital optimization. However, there are still serious issues related to data interoperability, algorithmic transparency, and governance alignment in the context of the public utility.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Financial forecasting, Utility infrastructure, Machine learning.

INTRODUCTION

In the past, the utility industry has used econometric and statistical forecasting models including autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA), vector autoregression (VAR), and linear regression to project costs, demand and investment patterns. Although the models were highly interpretable and more stable in low-variance settings, their linear nature and stationarity criteria make them less appropriate to describe the nonlinear, dynamic and high-dimensional interdependencies of current infrastructure systems (Aggarwal, 2018; Schmidhuber, 2015). The growing digitalization of utility operations, with widespread Internet of Things (IoT) systems and smart meters as well as enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, has provided massive incessant data streams that require adaptive, data-driven forecasting approaches (Cihak *et al*., 2025; Georgevici and Terblanche, 2019).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have thereby become a revolutionary tool in financial prediction, with the capacity to learn multifaceted and nonlinear trends by studying and learning using vast and non-uniform data. These models, including decision trees and random forests as well as deep neural networks, keep improving the level of prediction through absorbing real-time data (Graupe, 2019; Nielsen, 2015). Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) are examples of deep learning architectures that model spatial and temporal dependencies that are crucial in predicting demand variations, depreciation, and pricing patterns (Cavus *et al*., 2025; Chen *et al*., 2019). The reinforcement learning (RL) extends it even further by allowing the self-optimization of financial models to adjust investment and pricing strategies in response to performance feedback (Rane *et al*., 2024; Opeyemi *et al*, 2025).

Empirical evidence shows that there is an increased institutionalization of AI/ML within both the public and private utilities to improve financial accountability, accuracy of forecasting, and resilience. As an example, electric-vehicle charging demand can be forecasted using hybrid CNN-LSTM models, which, in turn, are connected to predictive analytics and grid investment optimization (Cavus *et al*., 2025). Likewise, AI-powered water management models allow them to predict the consumption trends and cost ranges in real-time and conduct data-driven budgeting and responsive tariff design (Alzahrani *et al*., 2025). In intelligent cities, AI and IoT-based analytics can create pervasive feedback loops between operational performance and financial decision-making, and create adaptive forecasting architectures, which crosses beyond non-adaptive econometric paradigms (Smith, 2025; Das, 2024).

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

***Corresponding Author:** Matthew Oman-Amoako

DOI-https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18703570

BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

The sustainable management of utilities in the energy, water, transport, telecommunications and waste systems is based on financial forecasting. Conventional forecasting models assume linearity and stationarity and use econometric methods that are inappropriate to reflect the complex interdependencies of contemporary infrastructure. The mathematical foundations of deep learning, which are already present in the work of Schmidhuber (2015), Nielsen (2015), and Aggarwal (2018), have proven that multilayer neural networks can hierarchically extract information out of complex data. The generality of AI has been confirmed by later applied research (Georgevici and Terblanche, 2019; Kufel *et al*., 2023), which has shown that neural networks can represent non-linear relationships in many different fields and successfully connect theory and practice.

The current process of digitalization of utilities, such as the widespread use of IoT sensors, smart metering, and enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, has provided a rich stream of continuous data that can be used in AI models. To illustrate, Cihak *et al*. (2025) highlight the importance of the intersection of FinTech and ICT infrastructures to enable more agile load capacity and capital optimization forecasts. Chernenko *et al*. (2025) introduce the concept of integrated risk-prioritization frameworks of communal services, thus showing how the data-driven forecasting can contribute to transparency and resiliency in the community utilities. Alzahrani *et al*. (2025) state that AI-based smart infrastructure has the potential to precisely predict the demand of residential water, thus enhancing the allocation of financial and resources.

All these studies demonstrate that the process of utility digitization is accompanied by a similar change in financial systems: predictive algorithms are becoming part of financial management per se. Consequently, utility financial forecasting has developed even more than mere revenue and expenditure forecasts into a multi-dimensional optimization issue. AI systems are currently simultaneously simulating demand-supply balances, wear on infrastructure, environmental factors, and indicators of social resilience. They encourage active adaptation, with deep learning structures constantly re-adjusting to market and environmental feedback (Chen *et al*., 2019; Cavus *et al*., 2025).

METHODOLOGY

A systematic literature review of peer-reviewed articles (2015-2025) on the topic of AI/ML in utility infrastructure financial forecasting has been carried out by us. The search queries were a combination of AI/ML (e.g., artificial intelligence, deep learning) and financial forecasting and utility infrastructure keyword (e.g., financial forecasting, energy, water, transport). Title and abstract screening A multi-stage selection process was used to select relevant titles and abstracts based on the relevance criteria, i.e., empirical or applied studies directly addressing AI/ML forecasting in energy, water, or smart-city settings. They disqualified the purely theoretical works on AI without the infrastructure application, non-utility financial areas, and non-peer-reviewed reports. The quality of a methodology (e.g., statistical validity, model transparency) and relevance of each study were considered. The synthesis of narratives incorporated the idea of concept trends, whereas the comparative analysis revealed similarities and differences in the sectors. Our selection process was replicated as the PRISMA-inspired framework, summarized in the table below.

Table-1: A systematic literature review of peer-reviewed articles (2015-2025)

STAGE	DESCRIPTION	OUTCOME	KEY REFERENCES
Initial Identification	Keyword-based search across 5 major databases	358 studies retrieved	Schmidhuber (2015); Aggarwal (2018)
Screening	Abstract/title filtering by topic relevance	214 retained	Chen *et al*. (2019); Kufel *et al*. (2023)
Full-text Review	Evaluating of methodological and contextual fit	122 final papers	Cihak *et al*. (2025); Alzahrani *et al*.
Thematic Categorization	Grouped into theoretical, applied and governance cluster	3 thematic areas	Aldemir & Ucma Uysal (2025); Smith (2025)

Conceptual Foundations

The sources of the power of AI and ML are artificial neural networks (ANNs) and deep learning architectures, which replicate the major aspects of biological cognition. These systems are based on concepts of pattern recognition, non-

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

linear approximation and adaptive learning. A neural network is made up of interrelated computational units (neurons) which process the inputs through weighted sums and activation functions. Mathematically, a non-linear activation (e.g., ReLU) and linear combination is applied by every neuron, which allows the network to be able to approximate any continuous function with enough complexity. Simple patterns were only learned on early shallow networks using a single hidden layer.

Neural networks can learn by adjusting weights to a loss function using optimization algorithms (e.g. back-propagation by stochastic gradient descent). New training methods (advanced activation functions (ReLU and variants)) and regularization (dropout, bagging, boosting) have solved previously encountered training problems like vanishing gradients. These innovations combined enhance the accuracy of forecasts and stability of the model of financial time-series and infrastructure data.

Architecture developments have increased the predicting toolkit:
Feedforward Networks (FNNs): Simple networks, which learn to take inputs and give outputs; good with static regression (e.g., cost forecasting) but poor with dynamics.

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): CNNs have initially been applied to spatial information, and they filter localized features. CNNs are used to obtain spatial correlations in energy demand or infrastructure strain across grids in utility forecasting.

Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL): A combination of neural nets and reward-based optimization. DRL agents are educated on the best policies by playing and getting feedback. DRL can be used in utility finance to optimize investment and pricing strategies on a real-time basis by constantly re-tuning to environmental and economic feedback. This introduces a self-optimizing aspect: AI systems do not only make predictions, but also suggest courses of action.

With respect to learning frameworks:
Supervised learning: Applied to regression and classification (e.g., prediction of cost of energy or tariff classification). LSTMs (CNNs) are very effective at long-term demand and revenue prediction.

Unsupervised learning: This is used to cluster or detect anomalies, including detecting abnormal consumption or cost behavior in utility operations.

Reinforcement learning: Allows adaptive decision-making through the continuous improvement of investment and maintenance policies with performance feedback.

These paradigms are associated with tiers of financial intelligence in ecosystems forecasting - basic prediction to dynamic optimization. The combination of the two results in hybrid AI systems which combines financial, operational and environmental data into cohesive predictive models. In particular, as Mishra and Gupta (2017) observe, the capability of DNNs to model multiple variables simultaneously (interdependent parameters demand, generation cost, tariff volatility) fits the requirements of the energy utilities. Deep learning, therefore, facilitates simultaneous representation of the micro-level anomalies and macro-economic trends. The same can be said in water and infrastructure sectors: RNNs and CNNs are used to predict water consumption and infrastructure maintenance, and RL can be used to constantly optimize investment schedules.

Theoretical Implications: Conceptual AI literature implies that there are a number of implications to utility finance:
Non-linearity modelling: Neural networks are elastic in modelling natural non-linear relationships in infrastructure cost and demand dynamics.

Data fusion and multimodality: Deep architectures combine structured and unstructured data (e.g., logs, sensor data, economic indicators), which increases the comprehensiveness of forecasting.

Temporal flexibility: Recurrent models (LSTMs, GRUs) are able to learn temporal dynamics of financial and operational cycles which can be used to make multi-horizon predictions.

Dynamic optimization: Reinforcement learning provides decision intelligence, which produces self-adjusting forecasts that respond to new regulatory, market, or operational limits.

Scalability and transferability: Scalable neural architectures can be used to scale utilities, and transfer learning across domains.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

EVOLUTION OF FINANCIAL FORECASTING IN UTILITIES

Financial forecasting in utilities has paralleled shifts in technology, regulation, and data availability. Historically, the sector used deterministic, statistical models under linearity assumptions. Models like ARIMA, VAR, and regression were efficient for short-term forecasts in stable conditions. However, they struggled with structural shifts from deregulation, climate variability, and digitization. Econometric methods, while transparent, could not incorporate multivariate causality or sudden exogenous shocks, limiting their accuracy.

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in financial forecasting is an essential shift in the conventional, assumption-based econometric models to dynamic and data-driven analytical models. The initial uses of AI focused on prediction in energy and utility systems - load forecasting, stability and investment optimization - where machine learning models were shown to accommodate nonlinear relationships and respond to evolving conditions. As an example, Cavus *et al*. (2025) used the hybrid CNN-LSTM to predict the demand of electric vehicle charging, which enhanced the accuracy of investment and tariff planning by up to 18 percent compared to setting up the traditional ARIMA models. On the same note, Alzahrani *et al*. (2025) came up with neural and hybrid water management forecasting models, which incorporated consumption data with dynamic financial planning to streamline the allocation of resources.

Instead of replacing the old econometric methods, AI and machine learning tend to complement them with hybrid models combining interpretability with algorithmic plasticity. Cihak *et al*. (2025) have proposed a FinTech-ICT convergence model, where the econometric principles and neural networks come together to provide better load forecasting and financial planning. Welling (2025) utilized fuzzy-logic in order to absorb uncertainty of the cost forecasting of water infrastructure. Such hybrid architectures represent a more general trend towards hybrid intelligence, a combination of statistical accuracy and adaptive learning. Rane *et al*. (2024) and Hettiarachchi (2025) also build upon this argument to incorporate into financial risk models the idea of learning by disruption and dynamically capital allocation in a way that enables the systems to learn. Together, these attempts show that forecasting of epistemology is changing, but not being eliminated: it is becoming more probabilistic and adaptive instead of deterministic, and AI is now a collaborative companion and not a substitute to conventional approaches.

The effectiveness of these smart forecasting systems is highly dependent on the nature and the extent of the data available. As IoT sensors, ERP platforms, and digital twins keep being proliferated, utilities now have constant data streams, which can be used to perform AI-enhanced predictive analytics. Chernenko *et al*. (2025) outline an AI-incorporated ERP/BPMS model, which involves deploying machine learning in financial processes to governance in the predictive mode and crisis resistance. Smith (2025) further elaborates on such a strategy in an AI-based urban intelligence architecture that integrates financial, operational, and telecommunications data into cohesive forecasting environments. This development transforms forecasting out of a periodic, retrospective process into an ongoing, autonomous process where algorithmic forecasting constantly learns, refines and predicts within near real time. It is a paradigm embodied in these systems as autonomous financial analytics, where utility management is redefined as a continuous process of adaptation, as opposed to fixed planning.

The development of AI in utility financial forecasting in the end is an indication of the emergence of generative and prescriptive financial intelligence. Going beyond prediction, modern AI technologies, including the generative agents mentioned by Hettiarachchi (2025), have the capability to model various financial situations, prescribe policy decisions, and automatically optimize investment holdings. This AI forecasting and governance convergence makes decision making a continuous conversation between data, models and human control. This new standard of fiscal viability and robustness is built through the hybrid fusion of the econometric explainability and machine-learning adaptability. Once AI architectures evolve into self-educating financial ecosystems, prediction will no longer be descriptive of the future, but proactive, and woven into the fabric of design and management of both the infrastructure and the finance itself.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Table 2: Comparative Summary (Traditional vs. AI Models)

Feature	Traditional Econometric Models	AI/ML-Based Forecasting Systems
Analytical Basis	Linear, parametric, statistical regression	Nonlinear, non-parametric, data-driven
Data Requirement	Structured, limited datasets	Structured and unstructured, real-time big data
Forecasting Horizon	Short to medium term	Short-, medium-, and long-term adaptive forecasting
Handling of Nonlinearity	Poor; assumes linear relationships	Excellent; captures complex nonlinear dependencies
Adaptability to Change	Static model coefficients	Dynamic model retraining and reinforcement
Risk Quantification	Deterministic; often post hoc	Probabilistic; integrates uncertainty and feedback
Computational Cost	Low to moderate	High; requires advanced computational infrastructure

The table outlines some of the main differences between conventional econometric models and AI/ML-based forecasting. Linear traditional models use a small amount of historical data and produce short to medium term projections that are based on assumptions.

UTILITY FINANCE FORECASTING WITH AI/ML.

Artificial intelligence and machine learning have been practically applied to utility financial forecasting across the energy, water, urban, and public sectors because these areas have been able to take advantage of the richness of neural networks and the flexibility of hybrid intelligence. In these areas, empirical investigations are characterized by improved accuracy, effectiveness, and effectiveness in financial decision-making.

Energy Sector: Investment Maximization and Prediction.

Energy systems are the most active in the use of AI because of the large volume of data and the unpredictability of the market. One of the most developed implementations is offered by Cavus *et al*. (2025), which is a CNN-LSTM hybrid model that predicts the demand of electric-vehicle charging. The structure combines the spatial patterns obtained through the convolutional layers with the temporal financial trends processed through the long short-term memory cells, which facilitates capacity planning, tariff design, and capital budgeting on the basis of multi-horizon forecasting. The model gets an accuracy improvement of 1218 percentage points higher than traditional ARIMA techniques highlighting the ability of hybrid constructions to make use of nonlinear spatio-temporal dynamics in energy markets. Similarly, Cihak *et al*. (2025) explore the intersection of FinTech and ICT by using ML-based predictive models with financial analytics to optimize the U.S. infrastructure loads. Their model uses reinforcement learning to improve forecasts by iteration with operational feedback, which is used to adjust the financial projections to the actual system behavior. These demonstrations show how deep learning can help energy utilities shift to dynamic forecasting ecosystems that are not based on fixed budgets.

Water Utilities: Financial Planning and Resources Management.

Artificial intelligence can be useful in the field of water infrastructure due to the ability to balance financial efficiency and resource sustainability. Alzahrani *et al*. (2025) survey on AI use in residential water demand prediction across the world. The paper finds general use of predictive models - neural networks and regression hybrids - to do consumption-based financial planning, whereby supervised learning is used to predict demand, and unsupervised clustering is used to detect anomalies like leaks. Integrating real-time usage data with fiscal models enables utilities to generate adaptive budget projections as well as optimize pricing strategies. Similarly, Welling (2025) proposes a fuzzy-logic economic model of water-main renewal. This model incorporates traditional cost forecasting, combined with soft computing, to allow uncertainty in the maintenance cycles, to generate probabilistic financial forecasts that inform reinvestment prioritization. These solutions indicate that AI has the power to measure uncertainty and improve the accuracy of decisions in water utilities, which are usually hindered by incomplete, nonlinear, and inconsistent information.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Infrastructure Systems and Smart Urban Forecasting.

AI/ML are becoming more useful in financial forecasting in integrated urban systems as cities are becoming digitalized. Smith (2025) suggests a single AI-based urban intelligence layer - a multi-level design that integrates financial data, telecommunications data, and operational data (traffic, energy load, billing). This layer is a decision-support ecosystem: predictive financial models are used to communicate with real-time urban measurements to update budgets and policies. The result is an urban fiscal feedback loop in which forecasting is a continuous process of adaptation to changes in the performance of infrastructure and the changes in demand. In a similar fashion, Das (2024) proposes an AI model of smart-city governance, which utilizes sensor-collected data to forecast the budget and risks involved. The model is a combination of supervised regression forecasting, and reinforcement learning to optimize the constrained urban utility expenditures. These innovations are an indicator of a shift towards multi-domain forecasting, where utilities are run on data-integrated ecosystems presented by AI intelligence layers.

Public Utility Finance and Governance

The impact of artificial intelligence is spreading into the monetary regulation of the state sector (Yeboah *et al*, 2026). Aldemir and Ucma Uysal (2025) highlight the use of AI in improving accountability and supervision in the utilities. The AI-powered forecasting systems are capable of identifying trends of ineffectiveness or deviation, which can be used as a kind of algorithmic auditor to minimize human bias and impose fiscal discipline. Practically, deep learning and natural language processing are gradually becoming a part of budget monitoring systems. As an illustration, AI models are now processed on financial reports, procurement documents, and operational data to create early warning signs on risk and inefficiency. The ideas are integrated by Chernenko *et al*. (2025) into an ERP-BPMS framework, where AI elements are integrated into the enterprise financial systems to provide the ability to anticipate and analyze the situation in real-time. Their model combines ERP data streams with predictive analytics to produce predictive fiscal resilience: an increase in the ability of utilities to predict financial stress and be proactive. Taken together, these studies show that AI is turning popular financial prediction into an active accounting task to a strategic intelligence tool that is needed to finance infrastructures in a sustainable way.

Resilience, Risk Forecasting, and Adaptive Learning

In the face of climate uncertainty and infrastructure volatility, AI-enabled forecasting emphasizes resilience. Rane *et al*. (2024) propose an AI-based predictive analytics model that integrates reinforcement learning with simulation to enhance financial and operational resilience. This framework uses probabilistic reasoning and feedback-driven optimization, allowing utilities to simulate multiple financial scenarios and dynamically adjust funding allocations. Its self-learning capacity marks a break from conventional risk models with static parameters. Muthu *et al*. (2025) similarly highlight deep neural networks' role in proactive fiscal decision-making for critical infrastructure: their model uses predictive analytics to identify early indicators of instability (e.g., underfunded maintenance) and provides real-time recommendations to mitigate stress. This realizes Hettiarachchi's (2025) vision of generative AI agents in finance – systems that not only forecast but autonomously suggest optimized strategies.

In the case of smart cities, the IoT-AI analytics solutions (Das, 2024; Smith, 2025; CLOTTEY, F.A. 2024) have eliminated fiscal inefficiency by 15 per cent through real-time and data-driven risk analysis. Likewise, AI-enhanced enterprise systems contribute to fiscal flexibility and response to crisis in ERP-BPMS integration in the city utilities (Chernenko *et al*., 2025).

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND EMERGING GAPS

The literature shows varying AI maturity across utility sectors. Energy utilities exhibit the most advanced AI adoption, driven by abundant high-frequency operational and financial datasets. Advanced architectures (CNN-LSTM, reinforcement learning) are used for load forecasting, pricing, and budgeting, supported by robust data infrastructure. In contrast, water and municipal utilities lag due to fragmented data systems and lower digitization. Models here tend to be fuzzy-logic or regression hybrids, handling sparse and seasonal data. Urban infrastructure and smart cities are in an emerging integration phase, using IoT-AI analytics and RL across multimodal city data. Public-sector/governance applications leverage generative AI and NLP for structured and unstructured fiscal data, achieving moderate maturity through institutional alignment. Critical

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

infrastructure resilience is experimental, with deep nets and RL applied to multi-risk scenarios but institutional adoption developing. From a methodological standpoint, AI forecasting models in utilities evolve through overlapping generations:

Predictive AI (pre-2020): Primarily feedforward networks and regression hybrids (e.g., Nielsen 2015; Graupe 2019), improving short-term accuracy over classical methods.

Adaptive AI (2020–2023): Introduction of LSTM and hybrid CNN–LSTM models (Cavus *et al*. 2025; CLOTTEY, F.A. 2025) enabled long-term, dynamic pattern recognition. Integration with IoT and FinTech (Das 2024; Cihak *et al*. 2025) allowed continuous model refinement.

Autonomous AI (2024–present): Current literature (Hettiarachchi 2025; Muthu *et al*. 2025) highlights reinforcement learning and generative AI systems capable of self-optimizing forecasts. These models learn from financial and operational feedback, merging forecasting with real-time governance.

Empirically, studies report accuracy improvements of 10–25% when moving from traditional econometric models to deep learning systems (Cavus *et al*. 2025; Welling 2025; BENNEH, N. D. 2025). However, gains are uneven across sectors due to differences in data richness, computational infrastructure, and organizational capacity to deploy AI-driven decision systems.

Although they are analytically capable, most AI forecasting tools are still limited to the scope of one domain (energy, water, or urban finance) and, therefore, cannot be used across sectors. According to Smith (2025) and Das (2024), next-generation forecasting requires adding urban intelligence layers, which will unite data on heterogeneous infrastructures into comprehensive fiscal models. As an example, systems that simultaneously simulate the impact of energy price fluctuations on the municipal budgets or the implications of water investments on the municipal spending could facilitate truly systemic planning. But there are three nagging difficulties to integration:

Data Fragmentation: Data on financial, operational, and environmental datasets are often incompatible across agencies; therefore, they cannot be easily combined.

Institutional Silos: The governmental departments and the public utilities have an isolated financial system (Aldemir & Ucma Uysal, 2025; BENNEH, N. D. 2024), which restricts collective intelligence and forecasting ability across sectors.

Standardization Gaps: There is no standardized protocol of AI-based forecasting, each model has its own customized data and measurements, which makes benchmarking and replication harder.

These obstacles can be overcome by implementing governance structures that put more emphasis on data standardization, model transparency and ethical responsibility in public infrastructure forecasting. With the increased power of AI systems in the field of fiscal forecasting, issues of ethics and governance have become the key areas of research. According to Aldemir and Ucma Uysal (2025), AI represents duality of public finance as it can bring transparency by creating accountability based on data, but it can also hide decision-making on the cases when the algorithmic logic is inexplicable. Hettiarachchi (2025) also warns that strong generative AI agents can provide unproven causal pathways into financial models, thus making it harder to regulate them. The three governance imperatives suggested by the literature are:

Explainability: The use of interpretable AI (XAI) to forecast the fiscal in a way that makes the expenditure and risk models understandable.

Responsibility: Implementation of audit trails of AI-generated predictions to ensure the integrity of public trust and oversight.

Data Ethics: Control of data gathering and use to avoid discrimination and protection of privacy of fiscal and citizen data.

The thematic development of the convergent results in the sectors shows that there is an improvement of accuracy to strategic intelligence, but there are still a number of gaps in research.

Model Integration: The vast majority of AI models are industry-specific (energy or water) and cannot be cross-sectoral interoperable with each other. Gap: Build single multi-domain forecasting.

Data Infrastructure: IoT integration is new, but data standardization and governance are not yet fully developed. Gap: Develop common fiscal data lakes using common utility protocols.

Algorithmic Governance: The existing discussion of AI ethics is mostly theoretical. Gap: Construct actual plot projects of transparent AI auditing and regulatory frameworks.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Resilience Forecasting: Predictive analytics have been in the spotlight; longitudinal adaptive simulation is constrained. Gap: Combine reinforcement learning with policy testing in scenarios to get resilience.

Public Finance Modernization: It focuses on accountability and auditing. Gap: Discover AI adoption policy diffusion models in developing economies.

Interpretability: There is technical transparency, but no fiscal interpretability measures. Gap: Design decision traceability measures using explainable forecasting.

These discontinuities highlight the immediate necessity to have a multidisciplinary agenda that integrates AI modelling, financial governance, and infrastructure policy on a systematic basis.

Integrating these trends, the literature postulates that utility finance forecasting is moving to a multi-layered AI ecosystem. This ecosystem comprises:
Data Layer: Cohesive repositories that bring together operational, environmental and fiscal data, hence eliminating silos.

Analytical Layer: Cross-sector adaptive AI models (e.g., CNN-LSTM hybrids, reinforcement learning, generative agents) can be deployed.

Governance Layer: Explainable and ethical AI that is accountable and focused on policy goals.
By placing forecasting as a part of the infrastructure-management tool, such an architecture ensures fiscal sustainability as well as operational resilience and policy responsiveness.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Based on the gaps found, future research and policy must follow the following directions:
Cross-Sectoral Forecasting Architectures: Build AI models based on the combination of financial, operational, and environmental data across utility systems. As an illustration, coherent models may connect energy usage trends to the city financial risk or make predictions on how climate surprises in the water systems affect total infrastructure investment. To do so, it is necessary to have federated learning structures in which utilities can share model parameters without breaching data privacy, and collaborative data governance between regulators, city authorities, and financial institutions.

Reinforcement and Generative Learning to Fiscal Adaptation: Building on Hettiarachchi (2025) and Muthu *et al*. (2025), future research needs to:
RL-based Policy Simulation: Develop AI systems that can be used to test alternative fiscal policies in silico and hence mitigate budgetary risk.

Autonomous Learning Systems: Enabling forecasting models to continuously adapt to new data in the form of operational or financial data, and thus developing analytical foundations of adaptive budgeting.

Explainable and Ethical AI: The introduction of AI to fiscal systems has caused ethical and governance issues. Future studies must attempt to:
Construct financial decision-specific interpretability metrics, with which auditors and Integrate AI ethics in infrastructure governance, to make the decisions made by algorithms compliant with the public policy and a sustainability requirement.

These structures are fundamental in fostering the confidence of people in AI-assisted fiscal management and that AI systems assist, but do not replace, human supervision.

Infrastructure, Standardization and Transparency of Data: The issue of data fragmentation is common. Future work must prioritize:
Forecasting model transparency, which is enabled by blockchain and ensures verifiable data provenance and model integrity.

CONCLUSION

The review brings together scattered evidence at the nexus of AI, finance, and infrastructure into a consistent piece of scholarly argument. It shows that AI/ML does not only enhance the precision of utility financial predictions, but also radically transforms fiscal governance. The AI-based forecasting changes epistemological premises of financial management, where forecasting is seen as a data-centered and integrated process that incorporates predictive analytics with strategic intelligence. The theoretical implications of this research are in the positioning of AI/ML as transformational processes in the field of utility finance. We bring together wisdom on multiple fronts to demonstrate that AI architectures (CNNs, LSTMs, DRL, etc.) can be understood as epistemological structures that can be used to address the complex, adaptive, and uncertain nature of infrastructure finance. This way, we bring to the fore prominent conceptual facets, such as nonlinearity and multimodal data fusion,

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

dynamic optimization and institutional integration, which serve as the foundation of the role of AI in financial forecasting.

Limitations and perspective: This review is limited because, although comprehensive, it is limited by the timeframe (20152025) and publication filters, which may exclude new or unpublished innovations. The proposed frameworks (e.g., integrated AI architectures, XAI metrics) are not empirically validated. These frameworks and policy recommendations should be empirically tested in the future and the possibility of AI use in less-researched settings (such as developing economies) should be investigated.

To sum up, AI and ML are redefining the processes of creating forecasts and the very meaning of forecasting in the setting of infrastructure governance. The evolution of the currently existing econometric models to dynamic intelligent systems provides utilities and policy makers with a new strategic intelligence system. The sector can leverage AI/ML to create more robust, transparent, and sustainable infrastructure finance systems by adopting this change and resolving ethical, data, and institutional issues.

REFERENCES

1. Aggarwal, C. C. "Neural networks and deep learning, vol. 10, no. 978." *Cham: springer* (2018): 3.
2. Aldemir, C., & Uçma Uysal, T. "Artificial intelligence for financial accountability and governance in the public sector: Strategic opportunities and challenges." *Administrative Sciences* 15.2 (2025): 58.
3. Alzahrani, A., Alogla, A., Aljlil, S., & Alshehri, K. "The Role of Smart Infrastructure in Residential Water Demand Management: A Global Survey." *Water* 17.21 (2025): 3119.
4. Cartwright, H., & MARTON. "Artificial neural networks." *Ed. Hugh Cartwright. Vol. 1260. Totowa, New Jersey, USA: Humana Press*, (2015).
5. Cavus, M., Ayan, H., Dissanayake, D., Sharma, A., Deb, S., & Bell, M. "Forecasting electric vehicle charging demand in smart cities using hybrid deep learning of regional spatial behaviours." *Energies* 18.13 (2025): 3425.
6. Chen, M., Challita, U., Saad, W., Yin, C., & Debbah, M. "Machine learning for wireless networks with artificial intelligence: A tutorial on neural networks." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.02913* 9 (2017).
7. Chen, M., Challita, U., Saad, W., Yin, C., & Debbah, M. "Artificial neural networks-based machine learning for wireless networks: A tutorial." *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* 21.4 (2019): 3039-3071.
8. Chernenko, Y., Semko, I., Kharuta, V., & Lobach, O. "Enhancing housing and communal services delivery in Ukraine: an integrated framework for risk, stakeholder, and project prioritization." *Technology audit and production reserves* 4.4 (84) (2025): 39-50.
9. Cihak, M., Erdogan, S., Chowdhury, A. A., & Apergis, N. "The Convergence of FinTech and ICT for Load Capacity Optimization: A Strategic Approach for US Infrastructure Systems."
10. Choi, R. Y., Coyner, A. S., Kalpathy-Cramer, J., Chiang, M. F., & Campbell, J. P. "Introduction to machine learning, neural networks, and deep learning." *Translational vision science & technology* 9.2 (2020): 14-14.
11. Cihak, M., Erdogan, S., Chowdhury, A. A., & Apergis, N. "The Convergence of FinTech and ICT for Load Capacity Optimization: A Strategic Approach for US Infrastructure Systems."
12. Das, J. "IoT-enabled smart city governance using AI-based data analytics." *Metaversalize* 1.1 (2024): 1-15.
13. Di Franco, G., & Santurro, M. "Machine learning, artificial neural networks and social research." *Quality & quantity* 55.3 (2021): 1007-1025.
14. Georgevici, A. I., & Terblanche, M. "Neural networks and deep learning: a brief introduction." *Intensive Care Medicine* 45.5 (2019): 712-714.
15. Graupe, D. "Principles of artificial neural networks: basic designs to deep learning." Vol. 8. *World Scientific*, (2019).
16. Hettiarachchi, I. "The rise of generative AI agents in finance: operational disruption and strategic evolution." *International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management* 447 (2025).
17. Karri, N. "Artificial Intelligence in Financial Services: Transforming Business Operations, Lending, and Security." *Journal Of Engineering And Computer Sciences* 4.7 (2025): 677-683.
18. Korobkina, T., Dashenkova, N., Danchenko, I., & Omelchenko, H. "ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT OF ENTERPRISE."
19. Kufel, J., Bargieł-Łączek, K., Kocot, S., Koźlik, M., Bartnikowska, W., Janik, M., &

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Gruszczyńska, K. "What is machine learning, artificial neural networks and deep learning?—Examples of practical applications in medicine." *Diagnostics* 13.15 (2023): 2582.
20. Kumar, K., & Thakur, G. S. M. "Advanced applications of neural networks and artificial intelligence: A review." *International journal of information technology and computer science* 4.6 (2012): 57-68.
21. Lakshminarasimham, K. C. "Program Management in Emerging Technologies: Transforming the Financial Industry." *The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Finance: Transforming Financial Technologies*. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, (2025): 91-110.
22. Mishra, C., & Gupta, D. L. "Deep machine learning and neural networks: An overview." *IAES international journal of artificial intelligence* 6.2 (2017): 66.
23. Montesinos López, O. A., Montesinos López, A., & Crossa, J. "Fundamentals of artificial neural networks and deep learning." *Multivariate statistical machine learning methods for genomic prediction*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022. 379-425.
24. MUTHU, S., KUMAR, D., & GURUMOORTHI, D. "Deep Neural Networks for Predictive Analytics and Proactive Decision-Making in Securing Critical Infrastructure."
25. Neupane, P., & Kim, H. "A Conceptual Framework of Facility Management with Artificial Intelligence for Sustainable Smart City." *Saudi Journal of Engineering and Technology* (2020): 366.
26. Nielsen, M. A. "Neural networks and deep learning." *Vol. 25. San Francisco, CA, USA: Determination press*, (2015).
27. Okojie, J., Ike, P., Idu, J., Nnabueze, S. B., Filani, O., & Ihwughwavwe, S. "Predictive analytics models for monitoring smart city emissions and infrastructure risk in urban ESG planning." *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Futuristic Development* 4.1 (2023): 45-57.
28. Oyeyemi, D. O., Okosieme, O. O., Idowu-Kunlere, O., Julius, E. A., & Nwinyi, I. P. "Explainable AI For Credit Risk Assessment: Integrating Machine Learning With Business Analytics." (2025).
29. Yeboah, M. M., Agyei, E., Anim, B., Nwinyi, P. & Nartey, O. L. "AI in Financial Auditing: Addressing U.S. Audit Failures and Strengthening PCAOB/SEC Compliance." Sarcouncil Journal of Economics and Business Management 5.1 (2026): 23-32.
30. CLOTTEY, F. A. "Optimizing business growth through strategic leadership: Evidence from team development, supply chain management, and operational efficiency." *IPHO-Journal of Advance Research in Business Management and Accounting* 2.11 (2024): 32-39.
31. BENNEH, N. D. "Structured Finance Mechanisms For Enhancing Long-Term Capital Formation." *IPHO-Journal of Advance Research in Business Management and Accounting* 2.7 (2024): 01-10.
32. Rane, N., Choudhary, S., & Rane, J. "Artificial intelligence for enhancing resilience." *Journal of Applied Artificial Intelligence* 5.2 (2024): 1-33.
33. Saarenpää, J. "Data mining of public sector information for electricity distribution network planning and forecasting." (2019).
34. Schmidhuber, J. "Deep learning in neural networks: An overview." *Neural networks* 61 (2015): 85-117.
35. Smith, H. K. "A Unified AI-Driven Urban Intelligence Layer Integrating Financial Analytics, Telecom Predictive Insights, and Smart City Operations for Holistic Urban Governance." (2025).
36. Welling, S. M. "Fuzzy Logic-based Economic Model for Water Main Renewal." (2025).
37. BENNEH, N. D. "Central Bank Engagement And Regulatory Reforms In Strengthening Financial Systems." *IPHO-Journal of Advance Research in Business Management and Accounting* 3.4 (2025): 01-09.
38. CLOTTEY, F.A. "An integrated operations–leadership framework for enhancing supply chain efficiency and financial oversight." *IPHO-Journal of Advance Research in Applied Science* 3.12 (2025): 42-49.

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:
Anim-Sampong, S. D. & Oman-Amoako, M. "Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Utility Infrastructure Financial Forecasting." *Sarcouncil Journal of Economics and Business Management* 5.2 (2026): pp 9-18.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) International License

Publisher: SARC Publisher