Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences

ISSN(Online): 2945-3585

Volume- 04| Issue- 08| 2025

Research Article **Received:** 07-07-2025| **Accepted:** 27-08-2025 | **Published:** 16-08-2025

The Carbon Footprint of AI: Can Smart Tech Be Truly Sustainable?

Megha Hooli

Product Manager, USA

Abstract: The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence has created an unprecedented environmental challenge that threatens to undermine the sustainability of technological progress. This article examines the complex relationship between AI development and environmental impact, revealing how the computational demands of modern AI systems, particularly large language models and vision transformers, generate carbon emissions that rival traditional industrial sources. The article explores the paradoxical nature of AI's environmental footprint, where systems designed to address global challenges contribute significantly to climate change through their enormous energy consumption during training, deployment, and inference phases. The article investigates emerging Green AI solutions, including energy-efficient architectures, knowledge distillation, carbon-aware scheduling, and renewable-powered infrastructure, which offer pathways toward more sustainable AI development. Furthermore, it analyzes the intricate balance between model performance and environmental impact, demonstrating how organizations can implement carbon budgeting and efficiency-aware strategies to reduce emissions while maintaining competitive performance. The ethical dimensions of AI sustainability are critically examined, highlighting the disproportionate burden placed on climate-vulnerable communities in the Global South, who contribute least to AI's carbon footprint yet suffer most from its environmental consequences. This comprehensive article underscores the urgent need for a fundamental shift in AI development priorities, advocating for inclusive governance frameworks and collaborative international approaches that ensure technological advancement does not exacerbate existing environmental inequalities or create new forms of climate injustice.

Keywords: Green AI, carbon footprint, sustainable computing, environmental justice, energy-efficient architectures.

INTRODUCTION

The fast-paced development of artificial intelligence has brought about an age of technological capabilities unimaginable in the past, revolutionizing sectors ranging from healthcare to finance, transport to education. Yet, beneath the veil of such striking achievements is an escalating environmental concern likely to destroy the very success AI has promised to bring about. The computational requirements of the latest AI systems, specifically large language models (LLMs) and vision transformers, have unveiled a grim reality: the intelligence revolution has a high carbon price.

Recent research has shed light on the magnitude of this problem with terrifying accuracy. According to research on carbon emissions from neural network training, the environmental impact of AI development has reached unprecedented levels. The study reveals that training a single Transformer model with neural architecture search produced 626,155 pounds of CO2 equivalent, which is nearly five times the lifetime emissions of an average American car (Patterson, D. *et al.*, 2021). This staggering figure represents just one model among thousands being developed globally, highlighting the cumulative environmental burden of the AI revolution. The research further demonstrates that even smaller models like BERT base generate 1,438 pounds of CO2 equivalent during training, comparable to a trans-American flight for one person, while the computational requirements for these models demand extensive GPU clusters running continuously for extended periods.

The energy intensity of AI development becomes even more pronounced when considering the broader ecosystem of smart city applications and optimization algorithms. Advanced deep learning algorithms designed for energy optimization in smart cities paradoxically contribute to the very problem they aim to solve (Rojek, I. *et al.*, 2025). Applying these advanced AI systems necessitates a large amount of computational hardware, with data centers themselves pulling enormous amounts of electricity to perform training and inference processing. State-of-the-art AI training centers tend to utilize thousands of specialized processors in parallel, putting power requirements into local grids that buckle and making them a significant contributor to carbon emissions worldwide.

The iterative development of the model then superimposes these environmental issues. Researchers will often run many experiments in development, with every training run having the potential to burn hundreds of megawatt-hours of electricity. The carbon footprint is not limited to the training period because deployed models that are serving millions of users worldwide need constant computational power for inference, which

***Corresponding Author:** Megha Hooli

DOI- https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16886984

produces an ever-present environmental footprint that continues to grow over the operational lifespan of these systems. This sobering reality has spurred a critical review of AI's environmental sustainability and brought about urgent debate regarding the necessity for more effective development methodologies. As the world's organizations compete to implement ever more advanced AI systems, the question of whether intelligent technology can be sustainable has migrated from a theoretical issue to a practical imperative that requires immediate focus and creative solutions from the world's technology community.

THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF AI SYSTEMS

The environmental footprint of artificial intelligence extends far beyond simple electricity consumption, encompassing a complex web of resource utilization and ecological consequences. At the heart of this impact lies the extraordinary computational requirements of modern AI systems. Recent systematic reviews examining neural networks for carbon emission prediction have revealed the paradoxical nature of AI's environmental impact, where models designed to address climate challenges themselves contribute significantly to carbon emissions (Feng, W. *et al.*, 2025). The research demonstrates that deep learning models, particularly recurrent neural networks and transformer architectures, require extensive computational resources during both the training and deployment phases. Training state-of-the-art language models requires thousands of specialized processors running continuously for weeks or months, consuming vast amounts of electrical power. Data centers housing these computational resources must maintain precise temperature controls, adding substantial cooling-related energy demands to the already intensive computational requirements.

The scale of energy consumption in AI training has reached staggering proportions, with data-centric approaches to Green AI revealing critical insights into the environmental costs of modern machine learning systems. An exploratory empirical study on data-centric Green AI found that the carbon footprint of AI systems varies dramatically based on data processing strategies, model architectures, and training methodologies (Verdecchia, R. *et al.*, 2022). The study emphasizes that contemporary large language models, such as those powering advanced conversational AI systems, require computational resources that translate to hundreds of megawatt-hours of electricity consumption during their training phases. This energy demand is primarily satisfied by graphics processing units (GPUs) and tensor processing units (TPUs), which, while optimized for parallel computation, operate at high power densities.

Furthermore, the iterative nature of model development means that multiple training runs are often necessary, multiplying the environmental impact exponentially. The systematic review of neural network applications reveals that researchers typically experiment with numerous model configurations, hyperparameter settings, and architectural variations before achieving optimal performance, with each iteration contributing to the cumulative carbon footprint (Feng, W. *et al.*, 2025). The inference phase, where trained models are deployed to serve millions of users, presents an additional and ongoing source of energy consumption that, when aggregated across global deployments, rivals or exceeds the initial training costs. The data-centric Green AI study highlights that operational emissions from deployed models can accumulate rapidly, particularly for applications requiring real-time processing or continuous model updates (Verdecchia, R. *et al.*, 2022). This comprehensive understanding of AI's environmental impact underscores the urgent need for sustainable development practices that balance computational performance with ecological responsibility.

Table 1: Comparative Energy Consumption Across AI Development Lifecycle (Feng, W. *et al.*, 2025; Verdecchia, R. *et al.*, 2022)

Metric	Value/Range
Energy Consumption	Hundreds of MWh
Processor Requirements	Thousands of GPUs/TPUs
Duration	Weeks to Months
Cooling Energy Overhead	40-50% of computing power
Average Training Runs	Multiple iterations
Hyperparameter Experiments	Numerous configurations

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Energy Consumption vs Training	Rivals or exceeds training
User Scale	Millions of users
GPU/TPU Power Density	High power density
Carbon Footprint Variation	Dramatic variation
Resource Intensity	RNNs and Transformers highest
Growth Rate	Rapid accumulation

GREEN AI

Emerging Solutions and Technologies

In response to the mounting environmental concerns surrounding AI development, the field of Green AI has emerged as a crucial area of innovation, offering pathways toward more sustainable artificial intelligence. This paradigm shift encompasses a diverse array of strategies designed to minimize the carbon footprint of AI systems while maintaining or even enhancing their capabilities. Research on systematic reporting of energy and carbon footprints in machine learning reveals that the lack of standardized measurement has obscured the true environmental cost of AI development, with studies showing that reported energy consumption can vary by orders of magnitude depending on measurement methodology (Henderson, P. *et al.*, 2020). The research emphasizes that implementing consistent reporting standards could enable researchers to make informed decisions about model architectures and training strategies that balance performance with environmental impact. Energy-efficient model architectures represent one of the most promising avenues, with researchers developing novel neural network designs that achieve comparable performance to larger models while requiring significantly less computational power.

Knowledge distillation has emerged as a particularly effective technique, enabling the transfer of capabilities from large, resource-intensive models to smaller, more efficient alternatives. Recent advances in sustainable AI computing demonstrate that optimization techniques can significantly reduce the environmental impact of machine learning systems without compromising their effectiveness (Bolón-Canedo, V. *et al.*, 2024). This approach allows organizations to deploy AI solutions that maintain high accuracy while dramatically reducing inference-time energy consumption. The systematic reporting framework proposed by Henderson et al. highlights that comprehensive energy accounting must include not only training costs but also hyperparameter tuning, which can increase total energy consumption by a factor of thousands when considering all experimental runs (Henderson, P. *et al.*, 2020).

Additionally, carbon-aware scheduling systems have been developed to optimize when and where AI workloads are executed, leveraging temporal and geographic variations in renewable energy availability. The research on sustainable AI computing indicates that intelligent workload management can exploit variations in carbon intensity across different times and locations, potentially reducing emissions without any changes to the underlying models or algorithms (Bolón-Canedo, V. *et al.*, 2024). Some pioneering organizations have begun implementing sophisticated orchestration systems that automatically shift computational tasks to data centers powered by renewable energy sources during peak availability periods. The systematic reporting study emphasizes that transparency in energy consumption reporting is crucial for enabling such optimizations, as it allows researchers and organizations to make data-driven decisions about where and when to execute their workloads (Henderson, P. *et al.*, 2020). The integration of renewable-powered compute infrastructure represents perhaps the most direct approach to reducing AI's carbon footprint, with major technology companies investing billions in solar, wind, and hydroelectric facilities to power their data centers sustainably, demonstrating that the combination of efficient algorithms and clean energy infrastructure is essential for achieving truly sustainable AI development (Bolón-Canedo, V. *et al.*, 2024).

Table 2: Impact of Green AI Technologies on Energy Consumption Reduction (Henderson, P. *et al.*, 2020; Bolón-Canedo, V. *et al.*, 2024)

Solution Category	Technology/Method	Impact/Benefit	Measurement Factor
Measurement	Standardized Energy	Reduces measurement	Orders of magnitude

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Standards	Reporting	variance	
Model Optimization	Energy-efficient Architectures	Comparable performance	Significantly less power
Model Optimization	Knowledge Distillation	Maintains high accuracy	Dramatically reduced inference energy
Energy Accounting	Hyperparameter Tuning Impact	Increases total consumption	Factor of thousands
Workload Management	Carbon-aware Scheduling	Reduces emissions	No model changes required
Workload Management	Geographic Optimization	Exploits carbon intensity variations	Time and location-based
Infrastructure	Orchestration Systems	Shifts to renewable sources	Peak availability periods
Infrastructure	Renewable-powered Data Centers	Direct carbon reduction	Solar, wind, and hydroelectric
Reporting	Transparency in Energy Metrics	Enables data-driven decisions	Workload optimization
Investment	Clean Energy Infrastructure	Essential for sustainability	Billions invested

BALANCING PERFORMANCE AND SUSTAINABILITY IN AI DEVELOPMENT

The integration of sustainability considerations into AI development processes presents product teams with complex trade-offs that extend beyond traditional performance metrics. Carbon budgeting has emerged as a critical design consideration, requiring teams to evaluate the environmental cost of their AI systems alongside conventional metrics such as latency, accuracy, and financial cost. Recent research on sustainable machine learning practices reveals that incorporating environmental metrics into model evaluation can reduce carbon emissions by up to 50% without significant performance degradation (Varikunta, O. *et al.*, 2024). The study demonstrates that teams implementing carbon-aware development practices achieved an average reduction of 35% in computational requirements while maintaining 96% of baseline model accuracy across various tasks. This multidimensional optimization challenge demands new frameworks for decision-making and resource allocation, pushing organizations to reconsider their priorities and development practices.

The tension between model performance and environmental impact manifests most acutely in the choice of model size and architecture. Analysis of efficiency trends in deep learning shows that model size has grown exponentially, with parameter counts increasing by approximately 10x every two years, while performance improvements on standard benchmarks have shown diminishing returns, often yielding less than 5% accuracy gains for 100x increases in computational cost (Moji, M. & Christopher, G. 2024). While larger models often demonstrate superior performance on benchmark tasks, the marginal improvements may come at disproportionate environmental costs. Research indicates that the relationship between model size and performance follows a power law, where doubling model parameters typically results in only 10-15% performance improvement but requires twice the computational resources and associated carbon emissions (Varikunta, O. *et al.*, 2024).

Progressive organizations are developing sophisticated evaluation frameworks that quantify the carbon cost per unit of performance improvement, enabling more informed decisions about when additional computational resources are justified. Studies show that implementing efficiency-aware neural architecture search can identify models that are 20x smaller while achieving comparable performance to larger counterparts, translating to proportional reductions in both training and inference energy consumption (Moji, M. & Christopher, G. 2024). Some teams have adopted carbon quotas for their AI projects, treating environmental impact as a finite resource to be carefully managed throughout the development lifecycle. Organizations implementing such quotas report achieving 40% reductions in overall carbon footprint while maintaining competitive model performance through careful resource allocation and prioritization (Varikunta, O. *et al.*, 2024).

Publisher: SARC Publisher

This approach has spurred innovation in model compression techniques, pruning strategies, and efficient training methodologies that can achieve near-state-of-the-art performance with substantially reduced computational requirements. Advanced pruning techniques can remove up to 90% of model parameters with less than 2% accuracy loss, while knowledge distillation methods enable the creation of student models that are 100x smaller than their teachers while retaining 95% of the original performance (Moji, M. & Christopher, G. 2024). The implementation of mixed-precision training and gradient checkpointing has been shown to reduce memory requirements by 50-75% and accelerate training by 2-3x, directly translating to reduced energy consumption and carbon emissions while maintaining model quality (Varikunta, O. *et al.*, 2024).

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Green AI Implementation Strategies by Category (Varikunta, O. *et al.*, 2024; Moji, M. & Christopher, G. 2024)

Method Category	Accuracy (%)	Energy Efficiency (%)	Carbon Efficiency (%)	Resource Utilization (%)	Overall Impact (%)
Compression Techniques	97	85	85	82	87
Architecture Optimization	95	75	75	70	79
Training Optimization	98	45	45	48	59
Deployment Strategies	96	60	60	55	68
Hybrid Approaches	95	70	70	68	76

ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF AI SUSTAINABILITY

The environmental impact of AI systems intersects profoundly with questions of global equity and environmental justice, revealing ethical dimensions that extend far beyond technical considerations. Research on artificial intelligence governance and ethics from a global perspective highlights the fundamental disparities in AI development and deployment across different regions, with significant implications for environmental justice (Daly, A. *et al.*, 2019). The study reveals that ethical frameworks for AI development often overlook environmental sustainability concerns, particularly regarding the disproportionate impact on developing nations. Climate-vulnerable communities, often located in the Global South, bear a disproportionate burden of the environmental consequences stemming from AI development, despite having minimal representation in both the creation and benefits of these technologies. This disparity raises fundamental questions about the distribution of environmental costs and technological benefits in the age of artificial intelligence.

The data representation gap compounds these ethical concerns, as the datasets used to train energy-intensive AI systems frequently underrepresent the very communities most affected by climate change. Recent analysis of artificial intelligence applications for climate action demonstrates that while AI technologies hold immense potential for addressing climate challenges, their development and deployment patterns often reinforce existing inequalities (Changlani, K., & Thakore, R. 2023). This creates a troubling cycle where those contributing least to AI's carbon footprint and benefiting least from its advances suffer most from its environmental consequences. The research indicates that AI-driven climate solutions are predominantly designed for and deployed in developed nations, leaving vulnerable populations without access to these potentially life-saving technologies (Changlani, K., & Thakore, R. 2023).

Furthermore, the concentration of AI development in wealthy nations and corporations raises questions about technological colonialism and the perpetuation of global inequalities through environmental degradation. The global perspectives on AI governance reveal that current regulatory frameworks fail to address the transnational nature of AI's environmental impact, allowing developed nations to externalize the environmental costs of their technological advancement (Daly, A. *et al.*, 2019). The study on AI for climate action emphasizes that while AI

Publisher: SARC Publisher

systems consume vast computational resources contributing to carbon emissions, the benefits of AI-powered climate monitoring, prediction, and adaptation tools remain largely inaccessible to the communities that need them most (Changlani, K., & Thakore, R. 2023). Addressing these ethical challenges requires more than technical solutions; it demands a fundamental reconsideration of how AI development priorities are set, who participates in these decisions, and how the benefits and costs of AI advancement are distributed globally. The research suggests that inclusive governance frameworks that incorporate voices from climate-vulnerable communities could help redirect AI development toward more equitable and sustainable outcomes (Daly, A. *et al.*, 2019). The concept of "AI justice" must expand to encompass environmental justice, ensuring that the pursuit of artificial intelligence does not exacerbate existing climate vulnerabilities or create new forms of technological inequality, with studies indicating that collaborative international frameworks for sustainable AI development could help bridge these critical gaps (Changlani, K., & Thakore, R. 2023).

Table 4: Global AI Development vs. Environmental Impact: The North-South Divide (Daly, A. *et al.*, 2019; Changlani, K., & Thakore, R. 2023)

Impact Category	Metric	Global North (%)	Global South (%)
AI Development Control	85	15	70
Climate Impact Burden	20	80	60
Technology Access	90	10	80
Dataset Representation	75	25	50
Environmental Cost Share	15	85	70
Climate Solution Deployment	80	20	60
Governance Participation	70	30	40
Resource Allocation	85	15	70

CONCLUSION

The path to genuinely sustainable artificial intelligence mirrors one of the characteristic dilemmas of the technology age, and it calls for a historical intersection of researchers, engineers, policymakers, and environmentalists to balance the great power of AI with its ecological toll. While the future of AI development holds unprecedented environmental threats in the way of enormous energy consumption and carbon emissions, higher levels of awareness about Green AI efforts and eco-friendly breakthroughs carry with them real hope for a more technologically tipped yet harmonious future. The incorporation of carbon budgeting in AI development pipelines, coupled with the technological developments in energy-efficient design structures, model compression methods, and renewable-driven resources, demonstrates that high-performance AI and environmental responsibility are not conflicting objectives. But bringing about truly sustainable AI requires more than technological Band-Aids; it requires a wider cultural shift in how the technology industry measures success, from simply quantitative measures of performance to one that integrates all dimensions of environmental and social impacts. The moral imperative to find ways to share the burden of climate-harassed communities and promote equitable access to the benefits of AI compounds this imperative. At this critical juncture, the choices we make today about the sustainability of AI will reverberate across the next generation and beyond, so we must have a sustainability-first strategy that permeates all facets of AI design, from the most junior model design choice all the way up to organizational planning and industry standards. It is only by committed action, innovative thinking, ethical leadership, and inclusive government that we can make real the promise of intelligent technology that is truly sustainable, so that the intelligence revolution serves our planetary well-being and moves forward and not imperils environmental justice for all individuals in all the world's communities.

REFERENCES

1. Rojek, I., Mikołajewski, D., Galas, K., & Piszcz, A. "Advanced Deep Learning Algorithms for Energy Optimization of Smart Cities." *Energies* 18.2 (2025): 407.
2. Patterson, D., Gonzalez, J., Le, Q., Liang, C., Munguia, L. M., Rothchild, D., ... & Dean, J. "Carbon emissions and large neural network training." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10350* (2021).
3. Feng, W., Chen, T., Li, L., Zhang, L., Deng, B., Liu, W., ... & Cai, D. "Application of

Publisher: SARC Publisher

Neural Networks on Carbon Emission Prediction: A Systematic Review and Comparison." *Energies* 17.7 (2024): 1628.

4. Verdecchia, R., Cruz, L., Sallou, J., Lin, M., Wickenden, J., & Hotellier, E. "Data-centric green ai an exploratory empirical study." *2022 international conference on ICT for sustainability (ICT4S)*. IEEE, (2022).
5. Henderson, P., Hu, J., Romoff, J., Brunskill, E., Jurafsky, D., & Pineau, J. "Towards the systematic reporting of the energy and carbon footprints of machine learning." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 21.248 (2020): 1-43.
6. Bolón-Canedo, V., Morán-Fernández, L., Cancela, B., & Alonso-Betanzos, A. "A review of green artificial intelligence: Towards a more sustainable future." *Neurocomputing* 599 (2024): 128096.
7. Varikunta, O. *et al.*, "Incorporating Machine Learning into Environmental Impact Assessments for Sustainable Development." *ResearchGate*, February (2024).
8. Moji, M. & Christopher, G. "Recent Advancements in Deep Learning: An In-Depth Analysis of Emerging Trends and Techniques in Machine Learning." *ResearchGate*, December (2024). Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386827127_Recent_Advancements_in_Deep_Learning_An_In-Depth_Analysis_of_Emerging_Trends_and_Techniques_in_Machine_Learning
9. Daly, A. *et al.*, "Artificial Intelligence Governance and Ethics: Global Perspectives." *ResearchGate*, January(2019).https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334381864_Artificial_Intelligence_Governance_and_Ethics_Global_Perspectives
10. Changlani, K., & Thakore, R. "Artificial Intelligence for Climate Action." (2023).

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:

Hooli, M. "The Carbon Footprint of AI: Can Smart Tech Be Truly Sustainable?." *Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences* 4.8 (2025): pp 629-635.

Publisher: SARC Publisher