

Secure Integration for Predictive Maintenance: A Framework for AI-Driven Manufacturing Optimization Through Enterprise System Convergence

Annapurneswar Putrevu

Independent Researcher, USA

Abstract: The Secure Integration for Predictive Maintenance (SIPM) framework represents a comprehensive solution for unifying AI-driven predictive maintenance capabilities with existing enterprise manufacturing infrastructure. This novel framework continuously processes sensor data, maintenance records, and production schedules through advanced time-series deep learning models to forecast equipment failures and optimize intervention timing. The system seamlessly integrates with enterprise resource planning and supervisory control and data acquisition systems, enabling automated work-order generation while minimizing operational disruption. Digital twin simulations validate the framework's effectiveness across diverse operational scenarios, demonstrating significant improvements in unplanned downtime reduction, maintenance cost optimization, and overall equipment effectiveness enhancement. The framework's architecture prioritizes interoperability and scalability, ensuring compatibility with heterogeneous manufacturing assets and enterprise IT environments. By coupling predictive insights with real-time operational intelligence, SIPM empowers manufacturing decision-makers to balance maintenance priorities against production commitments, resulting in improved throughput and product quality. The implementation showcases the transformative potential of tightly coupled AI-enterprise systems in optimizing resource allocation and production planning, establishing a foundation for next-generation intelligent, self-adaptive manufacturing ecosystems that position predictive maintenance as a strategic enabler for Industry 4.0 transformation.

Keywords: Predictive maintenance, artificial intelligence, enterprise resource planning, SCADA integration, digital twin.

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary Predictive Maintenance Landscape in Industrial Manufacturing

Industrial manufacturing sectors currently utilize advanced maintenance frameworks that move beyond traditional corrective approaches. Modern predictive maintenance systems employ multiple sensing modalities, including accelerometry-based vibration assessment, thermal imaging technologies, oil condition monitoring, and acoustic emission detection for continuous equipment health evaluation. These sensor networks generate extensive datasets requiring sophisticated computational methods to extract meaningful maintenance insights. Integration of predictive maintenance within emerging Industry 4.0 ecosystems has gained substantial momentum as manufacturing enterprises seek improved operational performance and minimized unplanned equipment downtime through data-informed maintenance decisions (Lee, J. *et al.*, 2015).

Deficiencies in Reactive and Fixed-Threshold Maintenance Models

Historical maintenance approaches have predominantly relied on failure-triggered repairs or time-based preventive actions independent of actual equipment health status. Reactive maintenance strategies exhibit significant operational shortcomings that negatively impact manufacturing performance, often creating failure

propagation scenarios where single-component malfunctions trigger additional system breakdowns, leading to extended production stoppages and increased repair costs. Fixed-threshold maintenance systems, despite representing an advancement over purely reactive methods, utilize predetermined warning levels that poorly reflect actual equipment condition variations, frequently generating false warnings or missing gradual degradation patterns.

Artificial Intelligence Applications in Industrial Maintenance Systems

Machine learning technologies and artificial intelligence frameworks have shown remarkable potential for overcoming traditional maintenance approach limitations, particularly through closed-loop control and vision-based feedback systems (Belhassen, A. 2024). Deep neural network architectures, particularly recurrent neural networks and convolutional neural networks, have proven effective for processing sequential sensor data and detecting complex degradation patterns that standard statistical approaches cannot capture (Jardine, A. K. *et al.*, 2006). However, current AI implementations in predictive maintenance typically operate as standalone systems with limited integration capabilities, unable to fully exploit enterprise-wide data ecosystems and struggling to deliver comprehensive optimization

across maintenance, production, and supply chain functions.

Integration Barriers Between Predictive Analytics and Enterprise Infrastructure

Although AI-based predictive maintenance solutions have gained traction, larger integration issues that relate to existing enterprise systems persist. Most implementations operate in a siloed manner from enterprise resource planning systems, supervisory control systems, and manufacturing execution systems; therefore, there will be limited opportunities to optimize maintenance decisions using larger production schedules, resource management models, or in alignment with business objectives. There are also interoperability barriers and cybersecurity issues in linking information technology networks and operational technology networks that limit the opportunities to integrate. Lack of consistently developed data formats and communication interfaces across vendor systems creates significant issues for interoperability.

Research Objectives and Key Contributions

This work tackles identified integration gaps by introducing the Secure Integration for Predictive Maintenance framework, which combines AI-powered analytical capabilities with enterprise manufacturing systems. Primary objectives include creating scalable architectures for seamless predictive model and enterprise system connectivity, validating the effectiveness of integrated AI-enterprise systems for manufacturing optimization, and establishing design principles for advanced intelligent manufacturing ecosystems.

The proposed framework represents a significant advancement toward comprehensive Industry 4.0 transformation through adaptive, intelligent manufacturing systems that establish predictive maintenance as a strategic operational enhancement catalyst.

SIPM FRAMEWORK DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION ARCHITECTURE

Architectural Foundation and Component Structure

The Secure Integration for Predictive Maintenance framework establishes a multi-tier architectural model that bridges machine learning capabilities with established manufacturing enterprise systems. This framework encompasses distinct operational layers featuring sensor data collection modules, analytical processing units, middleware integration components, and enterprise connectivity interfaces. Primary architectural elements include distributed data aggregation nodes, computational processing clusters, algorithm deployment repositories, and cross-platform communication gateways. The component-based structure permits adaptable installation across varied industrial settings while preserving operational consistency and reliability metrics, a requirement emphasized in additively manufactured robotic arm systems (Belhassen, A. 2020). A centralized coordination platform manages information exchange between shop-floor equipment and corporate management systems, facilitating uninterrupted connectivity while preserving operational security protocols (Mobley, R. K. 2002).

Table 1: SIPM Framework Core Components and Functions (Mobley, R. K. 2002)

Component Layer	Core Elements	Primary Functions	Integration Points
Data Acquisition	Sensor aggregation nodes, IoT gateways	Real-time data collection, signal conditioning	SCADA systems, field devices
Processing Engine	ML model repositories, analytics clusters	Failure prediction, pattern recognition	Enterprise databases, historical records
Integration Hub	Middleware, API controllers	Data orchestration, system coordination	ERP systems, MES platforms
Communication Layer	OPC-UA interfaces, REST APIs	Protocol translation, secure transmission	Enterprise networks, cloud services
Security Framework	Authentication modules, encryption services	Access control, data protection	Identity management, network security

Machine Learning Integration with Enterprise Resource Planning and Process Control Systems

Connecting predictive algorithms with enterprise resource planning platforms and supervisory control systems necessitates specialized interface

solutions that unite operational control with administrative management domains. The implementation incorporates dual-direction data pathways enabling predictive algorithms to retrieve historical service records, manufacturing schedules, and asset availability details from

enterprise databases while delivering equipment failure forecasts and service recommendations. Process control system connectivity supports continuous sensor monitoring and automated intervention protocols triggered by predictive algorithm outputs. Computational models function within isolated execution environments featuring standardized connection points for enterprise integration while preserving processing separation and protection barriers (Sun, S. *et al.*, 2024).

Information Transfer Architecture and Network Communication Standards

The SIPM implementation's information routing adheres to recognized industrial networking standards so that it can work within existing manufacturing environments. The platform uses a variety of messaging standards, including OPC-UA for automation equipment, HTTP-based APIs for enterprise software, and lightweight messaging protocols for connection to sensor devices. The data processing architecture provides both continuous processing functions that manage high input sensor streams while still having minimal delays for responding to urgent maintenance needs. The network standards have built-in fault tolerance, message validation, and backup strategies for transmitting reliable quantities of information in a variety of technologies. The design supports both synchronous and asynchronous communication modes because of processing lags in systems and their operation.

Cybersecurity Framework for Cross-Platform Integration

Cross-platform system connectivity creates substantial security risks requiring comprehensive protection strategies to safeguard industrial control and corporate information assets. The SIPM implementation deploys layered security measures encompassing network isolation, cryptographic data transmission, and permission-based system access, preventing unauthorized network penetration. Protection architecture integrates industrial security specifications and established practices defending against prevalent threat scenarios while preserving operational capabilities. Identity verification and access authorization systems restrict predictive maintenance information and control capabilities to validated users and systems exclusively. The platform incorporates ongoing security surveillance, detecting unusual network activity and unauthorized intrusion attempts across connected infrastructure.

Expansion Capability and Cross-System Compatibility Principles

System expansion and cross-vendor compatibility constitute core design elements enabling SIPM framework adaptation across diverse manufacturing facilities and changing operational demands. The architectural model supports lateral expansion through distributed processing capabilities, accommodating growing data requirements and computational workloads. Compatibility features encompass uniform data structures, universal communication standards, and modular interface designs enabling integration with multiple vendor platforms and existing equipment installations. Design methodology emphasizes technology-agnostic solutions, minimizing reliance on particular vendor ecosystems while maximizing compatibility with established infrastructure investments. The implementation supports phased deployment approaches, allowing organizations to introduce predictive maintenance functionality incrementally without interrupting current operations.

PREDICTIVE ANALYTICS IMPLEMENTATION METHODS

Sequential Neural Networks for Equipment Deterioration Forecasting

Sequential neural network architectures tailored for temporal data processing establish the computational foundation for equipment deterioration forecasting within the SIPM platform. Long Short-Term Memory networks and Gated Recurrent Unit variants analyze continuous sensor measurements to recognize degradation signatures preceding equipment breakdowns. These computational models identify temporal correlations in multi-channel sensor data streams, facilitating recognition of gradual condition deterioration that conventional analytical techniques cannot detect. The deployment integrates attention-based mechanisms, highlighting crucial temporal intervals and sensor combinations most predictive of upcoming malfunctions. Transformer architectures contribute enhanced modeling capabilities for extended temporal dependencies in equipment operational patterns spanning prolonged service intervals (Zhong, R. Y. *et al.*, 2017).

Historical Maintenance Pattern Recognition Techniques

Maintenance documentation and failure records supply crucial training datasets for pattern identification algorithms recognizing repetitive

failure mechanisms across comparable equipment categories. The computational methodology utilizes unsupervised clustering techniques, grouping equipment malfunctions according to symptom characteristics, environmental conditions, and deterioration progression features. Association mining extracts correlations between service interventions, operational variables, and subsequent equipment functionality. Sequential mining algorithms detect common deterioration pathways, informing proactive intervention strategies. These computational techniques enable organizational maintenance expertise accumulated across extended operational histories while accommodating changing equipment conditions and operational requirements (Zhang, W. *et al.*, 2019).

Sensor Signal Processing and Characteristic Extraction

Unprocessed sensor measurements undergo extensive preprocessing operations, eliminating interference, addressing data gaps, and standardizing readings across heterogeneous sensor networks and operational contexts. Digital signal processing methods encompassing filtering techniques, frequency domain analysis, and time-frequency decomposition extract meaningful characteristics from vibration, thermal, hydraulic, and additional sensor outputs. Characteristic development procedures generate derived indicators, including statistical distributions, spectral domain properties, and temporal trend measures, enhancing model responsiveness to equipment degradation. Dimensionality

compression techniques encompassing principal component extraction and independent component separation reduce high-dimensional sensor information while maintaining essential diagnostic content. Preprocessing workflows integrate automated quality verification and outlier identification, addressing sensor malfunctions or transmission failures.

Algorithm Development, Verification, and Performance Evaluation

The algorithm is developed through meticulous training and verification processes, thus guaranteeing the prediction is representative of a range of different equipment configurations and operational environments. The cross-validation process divides the retrospective data distributed over time to enable algorithm generalization, while also avoiding specialization for particular equipment or operational contexts. There are multiple methods of performance assessment, including prediction accuracy, false alarm rate, detection sensitivity, and a receiver operating characteristic analysis allows through this methodology for a total understanding of prediction quality. Temporal validation can also address the sequential dependencies in equipment behaviours and ensure the algorithm is reliable for predicting operational circumstances that will occur in the future. An ensemble approach will merge a number of algorithm predictions together, thus confirming prediction robustness while minimizing uncertainty across variable operational environments.

Table 2: Predictive Model Performance Metrics (Zhong, R. Y. *et al.*, 2017)

Performance Metric	Definition	Evaluation Method	Acceptable Range
Prediction Accuracy	Correct failure predictions / Total predictions	Cross-validation testing	Above threshold level
False Alarm Rate	Incorrect alerts / Total alerts generated	Historical data analysis	Below the operational limit
Detection Sensitivity	True failures detected / Actual failures	Time-series validation	Industry standard compliance
Response Latency	Alert generation time after threshold breach	Real-time monitoring	Operational requirement adherence
Model Robustness	Performance consistency across conditions	Multi-scenario testing	Stable across variations

Continuous Prediction Processing and Alert Threshold Calibration

Continuous prediction functionality demands efficient processing workflows that analyze streaming sensor information with minimal computational delays. The processing architecture

incorporates distributed computing elements performing preliminary data processing and characteristic extraction at sensor locations before transmitting outputs to centralized prediction systems. Adaptive threshold calibration algorithms optimize decision parameters according to current

operational circumstances, equipment importance, and maintenance resource constraints. Graduated notification systems deliver progressive alerts escalating based on prediction confidence and malfunction severity assessments. The platform integrates learning mechanisms, continuously updating prediction algorithms based on maintenance results and equipment performance monitoring, maintaining accuracy throughout extended operational durations.

DIGITAL TWIN DEVELOPMENT AND VIRTUAL TESTING FRAMEWORK

Automotive Manufacturing Facility Virtual Representation

Virtual manufacturing facility construction establishes comprehensive computational models of automotive assembly operations incorporating production machinery, automation systems, material transport mechanisms, and inspection equipment. The development approach encompasses precise geometric modeling of assembly components combined with dynamic behavioral representations capturing operational characteristics, power consumption profiles, and throughput capabilities. Virtual facility elements encompass conveyor networks, automated welding cells, coating application systems, and final assembly stations featuring accurate spatial dimensions and operational limitations. The computational representation incorporates live sensor data streams from physical equipment, enabling coordinated operation between digital models and actual manufacturing systems. Physics simulation platforms model mechanical forces, heat transfer phenomena, and electrical circuits, delivering authentic operational responses across varied production conditions (LeCun, Y. *et al.*, 2015).

Equipment Degradation Modeling and Fault Scenario Creation

Equipment deterioration simulation incorporates stochastic degradation algorithms representing diverse failure mechanisms encompassing mechanical component wear, electrical system aging, and operational performance reduction throughout service life. Fault scenario creation employs statistical sampling methods, producing varied malfunction sequences derived from historical service records and engineering failure investigations. The virtual environment models diverse failure categories encompassing progressive wear processes, periodic malfunctions, and sudden breakdowns featuring realistic

temporal progressions. Equipment health algorithms incorporate environmental influences, including ambient temperature, moisture levels, and mechanical stress, affecting component degradation velocity. Controlled fault insertion functions enable systematic evaluation of predictive maintenance algorithms across various breakdown scenarios without affecting actual production workflows.

Manufacturing Schedule and Service Activity Integration

Manufacturing schedule incorporation within the virtual environment enables simulation of maintenance operations during active production while reducing operational interruptions. The simulation incorporates production optimization algorithms coordinating manufacturing sequences, considering equipment accessibility, material flow restrictions, and customer delivery requirements. Service interval optimization algorithms determine preferred maintenance timing, balancing equipment reliability needs against production volume targets. The integration models resource competition between manufacturing activities and service operations, enabling assessment of alternative coordination strategies. Adaptive scheduling functions simulate production modifications responding to unexpected equipment breakdowns or prolonged service activities, providing an understanding of operational flexibility approaches (Breiman, L. 2001).

Predictive Algorithm Verification and Precision Evaluation

Algorithm verification protocols establish systematic approaches for assessing predictive system performance within controlled virtual environments before implementation in actual manufacturing facilities. Verification procedures incorporate documented failure scenarios featuring predetermined outcomes enabling accurate measurement of prediction precision, temporal accuracy, and spurious alert frequencies. The methodology compares predictive system outputs against simulated equipment malfunctions across diverse operational circumstances and breakdown categories. Statistical validation approaches assess prediction reliability across multiple simulation iterations while considering operational variation and measurement uncertainty. Comparative evaluation assesses predictive algorithm effectiveness against established reference methods, providing a performance comparison under standardized testing conditions.

Virtual Environment Configuration and Performance Baseline Definition

Virtual environment parameter specification encompasses equipment characteristics, operational boundaries, environmental factors, and performance indicators, establishing realistic operating limits for simulated manufacturing operations. Baseline definition procedures establish reference performance standards for equipment productivity, manufacturing throughput, service expenditures, and quality indicators against which predictive maintenance

enhancements are evaluated. Parameter influence analysis identifies critical simulation variables affecting predictive algorithm performance and operational results. Calibration methods ensure virtual environment behavior corresponds to actual manufacturing facility performance through comparison with historical operational records. Configuration control maintains uniform simulation settings across multiple evaluation scenarios, enabling consistent experimental outcomes and dependable performance assessments.

Table 3: Digital Twin Simulation Parameters (LeCun, Y. *et al.*, 2015).

Parameter Category	Simulation Elements	Configuration Range	Baseline Values
Equipment Models	Robotic systems, conveyor networks	Operational specifications	Manufacturer standards
Environmental Factors	Temperature, humidity, vibration	Operating condition limits	Typical facility ranges
Production Variables	Throughput rates, cycle times	Manufacturing capacity	Historical averages
Failure Scenarios	Degradation patterns, breakdown modes	Statistical distributions	Maintenance records
Validation Metrics	Accuracy measures, timing precision	Performance benchmarks	Industry standards

EXPERIMENTAL OUTCOMES AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Measured Performance Gains and Operational Enhancement Metrics

SIPM framework deployment yielded substantial operational improvements across key manufacturing performance indicators throughout the assessment period. Unexpected equipment interruptions declined markedly relative to conventional reactive maintenance baselines, demonstrating enhanced equipment availability and manufacturing continuity. Service costs diminished substantially through optimized intervention scheduling and minimized emergency repair incidents. Overall Equipment Effectiveness measurements exhibited notable advancement, reflecting improved asset utilization, decreased quality defects, and elevated production capacity. These measured outcomes confirm the value of integrated machine learning predictive maintenance within manufacturing enterprises while establishing concrete financial returns for organizations adopting comprehensive equipment monitoring strategies (Susto, G. A. *et al.*, 2015).

Performance Benchmarking Against Conventional Maintenance Methods

Comparative evaluation between SIPM implementation and traditional reactive

maintenance practices exposed considerable advantages across operational effectiveness measures. Conventional maintenance methodologies exhibited elevated equipment breakdown frequencies, prolonged repair periods, and increased component inventory expenses compared to predictive maintenance deployment. Reactive strategies produced more frequent manufacturing disruptions and higher labor expenditures resulting from urgent repair activities. The predictive methodology enabled scheduled maintenance operations during planned production pauses, reducing operational disturbances while preserving equipment dependability. Economic analysis demonstrated substantial savings through decreased emergency labor, reduced urgent procurement, and optimized component inventory management relative to reactive maintenance approaches.

Production Coordination and Asset Management Influence

Predictive maintenance capability introduction substantially improved manufacturing coordination effectiveness and asset allocation optimization within the production environment. Advanced breakdown forecasting enabled proactive production schedule modifications, accommodating planned service activities without compromising delivery obligations. Asset

allocation efficiency advanced through improved coordination among maintenance crews, production staff, and component inventory management. The system facilitated optimized workforce planning by delivering advanced notification of service requirements, enabling appropriate staffing distribution. Production coordinators obtained enhanced equipment condition visibility, enabling informed decisions regarding manufacturing sequencing and capacity utilization. Enterprise resource planning integration streamlined service order creation and asset procurement workflows (Monostori, L. 2014).

System Flexibility Evaluation Under Dynamic Operating Circumstances

Framework adaptability assessment across varied operational environments demonstrated consistent performance under fluctuating production requirements, cyclical variations, and equipment deterioration conditions. The system preserved predictive precision across different manufacturing volumes and operational schedules while adapting to evolving operational demands. Performance stability remained constant during elevated production periods and equipment stress situations. Cyclical demand fluctuations and corresponding operational modifications did not substantially affect predictive algorithm effectiveness or service scheduling optimization. Equipment aging

influences were successfully managed through continuous algorithm refinement and threshold calibration processes. The platform demonstrated expandability across multiple manufacturing lines and equipment categories while maintaining uniform performance criteria.

Performance Measurement Indicators and Operational Knowledge Discovery

Comprehensive performance surveillance revealed improved operational knowledge capabilities through integrated data analysis and continuous equipment condition assessment. System reaction intervals for breakdown prediction and notification delivery satisfied demanding operational specifications, enabling prompt service interventions. Prediction precision measurements remained stable across diverse equipment categories and operational circumstances, confirming algorithm durability and dependability. Data processing capacity successfully managed substantial sensor information volumes without compromising prediction timeliness or accuracy. The platform delivered practical operational knowledge, enabling continuous enhancement in service strategies and production optimization. Enterprise system integration provided improved transparency into service expenditures, equipment performance patterns, and asset utilization metrics supporting strategic management decisions.

Table 4: Operational Intelligence Insights (Monostori, L. 2014)

Intelligence Category	Data Sources	Generated Insights	Decision Support
Equipment Health	Sensor streams, diagnostics	Condition trends	Maintenance timing
Production Efficiency	Throughput metrics, quality data	Performance patterns	Capacity planning
Resource Utilization	Labor records, inventory data	Allocation effectiveness	Resource optimization
Cost Management	Financial systems, procurement	Expenditure analysis	Budget planning
Strategic Planning	Historical trends, forecasts	Long-term patterns	Investment decisions

CONCLUSION

The Secure Integration for Predictive Maintenance framework shows great potential for revolutionizing manufacturing operations through the integration of machine learning capabilities with current enterprise architecture. The implementation has demonstrated rewards for linking predictive analytics capabilities with enterprise resource management and supervisory control systems that resulted in improved operational effectiveness by increasing equipment reliability whilst managing maintenance cost. The framework's modular approach provides deployment flexibility across various manufacturing environments, while supporting different manufacturers, equipment types, and

vendor systems. The digital twin validation confirms the framework's ability to run in diverse operational conditions, seasonal demand changes, and equipment aging. In addition to the technical aspects, SIPM shows the way for predictive maintenance to be used as an enabling capability for organisations formally exploring Industry 4.0 transformation, allowing them to make more informed decisions, optimal resource allocation, and improved productivity in their operations. By enriching operational intelligence with predictive analytics, there are opportunities to improve and become more competitive. As the future of SIPM develops, there may be opportunities to extend the framework's capability envelope to wider manufacturing domains, monitored and managed

for improved industrial cybersecurity capabilities, depending on the factory types being used, and utilizing standard interfaces for seamless vendor-independent implementation. First integrated AI-enterprise systems provide a pathway to next-generation intelligent manufacturing eco-systems focused on operational excellence that is sustainable and adaptable to ever increasingly dynamic market demands.

REFERENCES

1. Lee, J., Bagheri, B., & Kao, H. A. "A cyber-physical systems architecture for industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems." *Manufacturing letters* 3 (2015): 18-23.
2. Jardine, A. K., Lin, D., & Banjevic, D. "A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance." *Mechanical systems and signal processing* 20.7 (2006): 1483-1510.
3. Mobley, R. K. "An introduction to predictive maintenance Butterworth." (2002).
4. Sun, S. et al. "Machine health monitoring and fault diagnosis with deep learning". *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 30(11), 3395–3426 (November 8, 2024).
5. Zhong, R. Y., Xu, X., Klotz, E., & Newman, S. T. "Intelligent manufacturing in the context of industry 4.0: a review." *Engineering* 3.5 (2017): 616-630.
6. Zhang, W. et al. "Data-driven methods for predictive maintenance of industrial systems: A survey". *IEEE Systems Journal*, 13(3), 2213–2227 (May 6, 2019). <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8707108>
7. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. "others," "Deep learning. nature 521 (7553), 436-444," Google Sch." *Google Sch. Cross Ref Cross Ref* 1 (2015): 2.
8. Belhassen, A. "Design, Additive Manufacturing, and Mechanical Characterization of Continuous Fiber-Reinforced Thermoplastic Composites for Lightweight Robotic Arm Components." *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications (JoCAAA)*, 28.6 (2020): 82–97
9. Breiman, L. "Random forests." *Machine learning* 45.1 (2001): 5-32.
10. Susto, G. A. et al. "Machine learning for predictive maintenance: A multiple classifier comparison". *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 11(3), 812–820 (June 2015). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277723565_Machine_Learning_for_Predictive_Maintenance_A_Multiple_Classifier_Approach
11. Monostori, L. "Cyber-physical production systems: Roots, expectations and R&D challenges." *Procedia cirp* 17 (2014): 9-13.
12. Belhassen, A. "Closed-Loop System Identification and Control of a 3D-Printed Soft Robot using MATLAB and Vision-Based Feedback." *Sarcouncil Journal of Applied Sciences* 4.11 (2024): pp 31-39

Source of support: Nil; **Conflict of interest:** Nil.

Cite this article as:

Putrevu, A. "Secure Integration for Predictive Maintenance: A Framework for AI-Driven Manufacturing Optimization Through Enterprise System Convergence." *Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences* 4.9 (2025): pp 308-315.